## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FIFTH DISTRICT JANUARY TERM 2013

DAVID HARRICHARAN,

Petitioner,

v. Case No. 5D12-4390

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_

Opinion filed June 7, 2013

Petition Alleging Ineffectiveness of Appellate Counsel, A Case of Original Jurisdiction.

Robyn M. Blake of Robyn M. Blake, P.A., Miami, for Petitioner.

David Harricharan, Blountstown, pro se.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Douglas T. Squire, Assistant Attorney General, Daytona Beach, for Respondent.

COHEN, J.

In 2002, David Harricharan was convicted for the kidnapping and second-degree murder of Jose Vasquez and sentenced to two concurrent thirty-year terms of imprisonment. Harricharan appealed his convictions, and this Court per curiam affirmed. <u>Harricharan v. State</u>, 871 So. 2d 896 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

Since then, Harricharan has filed four pro se collateral challenges, including four motions for rehearing or rehearing en banc, all of which have been without merit. In his current habeas petition, Harricharan made the same arguments raised in prior appeals, prompting this Court to deny the petition and issue a <a href="Spencer">Spencer</a>¹ show-cause order. Instead of a response from Harricharan, this Court received a response from an attorney. Counsel for Harricharan argued that a <a href="Spencer">Spencer</a> order cannot be entered against a litigant who is currently represented by counsel. Counsel then proceeded to rehash the same issues already decided in the many years since the 2002 conviction.

We conclude that Harricharan is abusing the judicial process and should be barred from further pro se filings. The fact that an attorney has responded to our show-cause order does not impact our decision to prohibit Harricharan from further pro se filings. Therefore, we now prohibit Harricharan from filing with this Court any more pro se petitions or appeals concerning Seminole County case number 99–711–CFA. The Clerk of this Court is directed not to accept any further pro se filings from Harricharan that violate this prohibition. Any further pleadings will be rejected unless signed by a member in good standing of The Florida Bar. See Floyd v. State, 62 So. 3d 1228 (Fla. 5th DCA 2011).

Future pro se filings PROHIBITED; Certified opinion FORWARDED to Harricharan and the Department of Corrections.

ORFINGER, C.J., and BERGER, J., concur.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> State v. Spencer, 751 So. 2d 47 (Fla. 1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The attorney filed a notice of appearance after Harricharan filed the petition and reply, but before this Court issued its order denying the petition.