IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL FIRST DISTRICT, STATE OF FLORIDA

JAMES SPRATLING

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

Appellant,

v.

CASE NO. 1D01-4964

STATE OF FLORIDA

Appellee.

Opinion filed July 17, 2003.

An appeal from Circuit Court for Duval County.

Henry E. Davis, Judge.

James C. Spratling, pro se.

Charlie Crist, Attorney General; Edward C. Hill, Jr., Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, for appellee.

## PER CURIAM.

James Spratling is appealing the circuit court's denial of his petition for writ of habeas corpus. We affirm as the appellant presents no basis on appeal to overturn the circuit court's decision, and the trial court's basis for denying the petition is supported

by Florida law. The appellant's claims in his habeas petition are claims cognizable under rule 3.850, Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure. It is well settled that a petition for habeas corpus may not be used to collaterally challenge a criminal judgment or sentence and that rule 3.850 has superceded habeas corpus as the means of collateral attack of a judgment and sentence in Florida. See, e.g., Fla. R. Crim. Pro. 3.850(h); White v. Duggar, 511 So. 2d 554 (Fla. 1987); Robbins v. State, 564 So. 2d 256 (Fla. 1st DCA 1990). The circuit court correctly noted that even if treated as a rule 3.850 motion, appellant's claims would have been procedurally barred as untimely. Affirm. WOLF, C.J., ERVIN and BENTON, JJ., CONCUR.