## DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FOURTH DISTRICT

## **GEORGE ROBERTS,**

Appellant,

v.

## **STATE OF FLORIDA,** Appellee.

No. 4D16-1523

[October 19, 2016]

Appeal of order denying rule 3.850 motion from the Circuit Court for the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit, Broward County; Michael A. Robinson, Judge; L.T. Case No. 07-20981CF10A.

George Roberts, Tamarac, pro se.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Melynda L. Melear, Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach, for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

George Roberts appeals the summary denial of his motion for postconviction relief filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850. In his motion, Roberts alleged several grounds for relief, but some of these grounds were not numbered. One of the unnumbered grounds asserted a claim of newly discovered evidence based on an allegation that two key state witnesses, Roberts's daughters, recanted their testimony regarding sexual abuse. The trial court denied this claim because Roberts failed to attach sworn affidavits from these witnesses as required by rule 3.850(c).<sup>1</sup>

On appeal, the state concedes, and we agree, that Roberts should have been granted leave to amend this facially insufficient claim. *See* Fla. R. Crim. P. 3.850(f)(3); *Spera v. State*, 971 So. 2d 754, 761 (Fla. 2007); *Fletcher v. State*, 53 So. 3d 1249, 1249 (Fla. 4th DCA 2011) (holding that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Roberts's attorney did attach two letters, which purported to be from Roberts's daughters, but these letters did not contain notarized oaths attesting to the facts stated within.

postconviction movant was entitled to have an opportunity to cure insufficient claim of newly discovered evidence by refiling the motion with a properly sworn affidavit).

We therefore reverse and remand as to Roberts's claim of newly discovered evidence. The trial court should enter an order granting Roberts leave to refile this claim with properly sworn affidavits. *See* Fla. R. Crim. P. 3.850(f)(3). We affirm the other grounds raised in his motion without comment.

Affirmed in part; reversed and remanded in part.

GROSS, GERBER and CONNER, JJ., concur.

\* \* \*

Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing.