

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA  
FOURTH DISTRICT  
*July Term 2006*

**MATTHEW L. HIRSCHHORN** and **DEBORAH L. HIRSCHHORN**,  
Appellants,

v.

**AVONDALE FUNDING.COM, CLERK OF THE COURT OF THE 17th  
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT**, and **NEW SOUTH FEDERAL SAVINGS BANK**,  
Appellees.

No. 4D05-4028

[August 2, 2006]

***ON MOTION FOR REHEARING***

PER CURIAM.

We grant rehearing, withdraw our previously issued opinion and substitute the following in its place.

*Affirmed.* The appellants make a post-judgment challenge based on the judge's recusal upon the appellants' request after the final judgment was entered. At most, the previously entered judgment was merely voidable and not void. *Schlesinger v. Chemical Bank*, 707 So. 2d 868 (Fla. 4th DCA 1998); *Murphy v. State*, 627 So. 2d 51 (Fla. 3d DCA 1993); *Barber v. MacKenzie*, 562 So. 2d 755 (Fla. 3d DCA 1990). Appellants had to move for reconsideration of the already entered final judgment, which they did not. *See Murphy*. A subsequent challenge based upon the voidness of the judgment is of no avail.

WARNER, KLEIN and GROSS, JJ., concur.

\* \* \*

Appeal from the Circuit Court for the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit,  
Broward County; Barry Goldstein, Judge; L.T. Case No. 04-8502 11.

Charles M. Eiss of Law Firm of Glantz & Glantz, P.A., Plantation, for

appellants.

Larry M. Segall of Gibbons, Cohn, Neuman, Bello, Segall & Allen, P.A.,  
Tampa, for appellees.