



untimely. See Rosier v. State, 144 So. 3d 604 (Fla. 2d DCA 2014). It also appears Mr. Millette was not adequately informed of his right to seek appellate review of the postconviction court's determination that the motion was untimely. Therefore, our affirmance is without prejudice to any right Mr. Millette may have to file a petition for belated appeal of the postconviction court order rendered July 23, 2013, dismissing as untimely his previous rule 3.850 motion filed by counsel; he has until July 23, 2015, to file a timely petition. See Fla. R. App. P. 9.141(c). Mr. Millette should reference this opinion in his petition for belated appeal.

Affirmed.

SILBERMAN and BLACK, JJ., Concur.