NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

| | IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | OF FLORIDA |
| | SECOND DISTRICT |
| LONZINE ADAMS, |) |
| Appellant, |) |
| V. |) Case No. 2D15-3524) |

Opinion filed June 29, 2016.

Appellee.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P. 9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Sarasota County; Thomas L. Krug, Judge.

PER CURIAM.

Lonzine Adams appeals the order denying as untimely his motion to release property. In his motion, Adams stated that law enforcement confiscated approximately \$493 and a cellphone when he was booked into jail after being arrested on charges that were later dismissed.

The postconviction court treated Adams' motion as filed under section 705.105(1), Florida Statutes (2015), and denied it as untimely because more than sixty days had elapsed since the conclusion of his criminal proceedings. In support of the order, the court attached the State's notice of nolle prosequi.

Section 705.105(1) provides:

Title to unclaimed evidence or unclaimed tangible personal property lawfully seized pursuant to a lawful investigation in the custody of the court or clerk of the court from a criminal proceeding or seized as evidence by and in the custody of a law enforcement agency shall vest permanently in the law enforcement agency 60 days after the conclusion of the proceeding.

"When summarily denying a motion for return of property pursuant to the sixty-day time bar, the trial court must attach those portions of the record showing that the property was seized pursuant to a lawful investigation or held as evidence." <u>Burden v. State</u>, 890 So. 2d 566, 567 (Fla. 2d DCA 2005) (citing <u>Cloud v. State</u>, 801 So. 2d 964, 964 (Fla. 2d DCA 2001), and <u>McKinnon v. State</u>, 752 So. 2d 134, 135 (Fla. 2d DCA 2000)); accord White v. State, 926 So. 2d 473, 474 (Fla. 2d DCA 2006).

Accordingly, we reverse and remand for the postconviction court to attach those portions of the record indicating that the cash and the cellphone were seized and held as evidence by law enforcement or that they were seized pursuant to an investigation and were in the custody of the court clerk. Otherwise, the court must entertain Adams' motion on the merits. See White, 926 So. 2d at 474; Cloud, 801 So. 2d at 964.

Reversed and remanded for further proceedings.

CASANUEVA, KELLY, and BLACK, JJ., Concur.