## NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

OF FLORIDA

SECOND DISTRICT

DAVID ROHALIA SAMPSON,	)	
Appellant,	)	
V.	)	Case No. 2D19-326
STATE OF FLORIDA,	)	
Appellee.	)	
	)	

Opinion filed May 20, 2020.

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P. 9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Pinellas County; Frank Quesada and Joseph A. Bulone, Judges.

Deana K. Marshall of Law Office of Deana K. Marshall, P.A., Riverview, for Appellant.

## PER CURIAM.

We affirm without discussion the postconviction court's order denying David Rohalia Sampson's motion for postconviction relief, which he filed under Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850. Our affirmance is without prejudice to any right Mr. Sampson may have to seek review under rule 3.800(a) of the sentencing issue that he

first raised in his motion for rehearing of the order summarily denying his rule 3.850 motion.

NORTHCUTT, SALARIO, and ROTHSTEIN-YOUAKIM, JJ., Concur.