## NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT

CAREY HAYNES,	)
Appellant,	)
V.	) Case No. 2D19-1336
STATE OF FLORIDA,	)
Appellee.	) ) )

Opinion filed December 30, 2020.

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P. 9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Lee County; Bruce E. Kyle, Judge

Howard L. Dimmig, II Public Defender and Maureen E. Surber, Assistant Public Defender, Bartow for Appellant.

Ashley Moody, Attorney General and Chelsea N. Simms, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa for Appellee.

VILLANTI, Judge.

For the reasons expressed in Morgan v. State, 293 So. 3d 1081 (Fla. 2d DCA), review granted, No. SC20-641, 2020 WL 3494396 (Fla. June 29, 2020), we affirm. As in Morgan, we certify conflict with the Fourth and Fifth District Courts of

Appeal in <u>Jones v. State</u>, 279 So. 3d 172 (Fla. 4th DCA 2019), and <u>Magill v. State</u>, 287 So. 3d 1262 (Fla. 5th DCA 2019).<sup>1</sup>

MORRIS and ATKINSON, JJ., Concur.

<sup>1</sup>In <u>Morgan</u> we also certified conflict with the First District Court of Appeal in <u>Simmons v. State</u>, 274 So. 3d 468 (Fla. 1st DCA 2019), and <u>Jordan v. State</u>, 81 So. 3d 595 (Fla. 1st DCA 2012). However, as the First District has since receded from <u>Simmons</u> and <u>Jordan</u>, <u>see Rogers v. State</u>, 296 So. 3d 500, 505 (Fla. 1st DCA 2020) (en banc), we do not repeat that part of our certification in the present case.