

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING
MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT

ROY LEE,)	
)	
Appellant,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 2D01-4124
)	
STATE OF FLORIDA,)	
)	
Appellee.)	
_____)	

Opinion filed October 16, 2002.

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P.
9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court
for Pinellas County; Mark I. Shames,
Judge.

PER CURIAM.

Roy Lee challenges the trial court's order summarily denying his motion
filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850. We affirm.

In his motion, Lee alleged that his plea was involuntary because defense
counsel affirmatively misadvised him regarding the future sentencing-enhancing effects
of the plea in regard to an as yet uncommitted crime. In Stansel v. State, 27 Fla. L.

Weekly D1947 (Fla. 2d DCA Aug. 28, 2002), we held that this claim is not cognizable in a rule 3.850 motion. We certify the same question that we certified in Stansel. We affirm, without discussion, any other issues raised by Lee in his motion.

ALTENBERND, WHATLEY, and NORTHCUTT, JJ., Concur.