IN THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, LAKELAND, FLORIDA July 30, 2003.

TYRONE J. SKEENS,)
Appellant,))
V.) Case No. 2D03-1086
STATE OF FLORIDA,)
Appellee.))

Upon consideration of Appellant's motion for rehearing and motion for rehearing en banc filed on June 12, 2003, it is

ORDERED that said motion is hereby granted to the extent that the opinion filed May 28, 2003, is withdrawn and the attached opinion is substituted therefor. In all other respects, the motion is denied.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL COURT ORDER.

JAMES BIRKHOLD, CLERK

cc: Tyrone J. Skeens Attorney General, Tampa Honorable Philip J. Federico Clerk of Court

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
	OF FLORIDA
	SECOND DISTRICT
TYRONE J. SKEENS,)
Appellant,)
v.) Case No. 2D03-1086
STATE OF FLORIDA,)
Appellee.)))
Opinion filed July 30, 2003.	

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P. 9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Pinellas County; Philip J. Federico, Judge.

PER CURIAM.

Tyrone J. Skeens appeals the trial court's dismissal for untimeliness of his motion for postconviction relief filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850. We reverse and remand for the trial court to consider Skeens' motion on the merits.

In 1996, Skeens was convicted by a jury of one count of sexual battery and one count of lewd and lascivious act upon a child under sixteen. He was sentenced to fifteen years in prison on each count to run concurrently. Skeens appealed and this

court in <u>Skeens v. State</u>, 733 So. 2d 1094, 1096 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999) (<u>Skeens I</u>), affirmed the sexual battery conviction, reversed the lewd and lascivious conviction, and remanded for resentencing.

In 1999, Skeens was resentenced under the 1995 sentencing guidelines to thirteen years in prison for the sexual battery. Skeens again appealed and this court in Skeens v. State, 779 So. 2d 418 (Fla. 2d DCA 2000) (Skeens II), remanded the case back to the sentencing court for reconsideration in light of Heggs v. State, 759 So. 2d 620 (Fla. 2000).

In 2000, Skeens was resentenced under the 1994 sentencing guidelines to nine years in prison for the sexual battery. He did not appeal this sentence. On October 21, 2002, Skeens filed a rule 3.850 motion which the trial court dismissed as untimely. The trial court ruled that the sexual battery conviction became final upon the issuance of this court's mandate for <u>Skeens I</u> in 1999. The trial court also ruled that the sexual battery conviction could no longer be challenged by a rule 3.850 motion filed in 2002.

However, this court in <u>Snipes v. State</u>, 843 So. 2d 1043, 1044 (Fla. 2d DCA 2003), has recently held that the two-year time limitation of rule 3.850(b) cannot begin to run until the direct appeal process has concluded. We note that the <u>Snipes</u> opinion was not available to the trial court when it made its rulings in this case.

For Skeens, since his conviction was affirmed by his initial direct appeal (Skeens I) and he did not appeal the sentence imposed after his second direct appeal (Skeens II), the direct appeal process ended and his judgment and sentence became final on December 13, 2000, when the time expired for the filing of a direct appeal from

his second resentencing. <u>See McGee v. State</u>, 684 So. 2d 241, 242 (Fla. 2d DCA 1996) (holding that a judgment and sentence which are not appealed become final when "the thirty-day period for taking an appeal has expired").

Accordingly, Skeens had until December 13, 2002, to file a timely rule 3.850 motion. See Fla. R. Crim. P. 3.850(b). Skeens filed his motion with the circuit court on October 21, 2002. Skeens' rule 3.850 motion was timely. The order of the trial court dismissing Skeens' rule 3.850 motion as untimely is reversed and this case is remanded for the trial court to consider the motion on its merits.

Reversed and remanded.

SALCINES, STRINGER, and COVINGTON, JJ., Concur.