

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING
MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT

In the Interest of D.A.G. and T.G., children.)
_____)
)
D.Z. and D.P.,)
)
 Appellants,)
v.)
)
DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND)
FAMILY SERVICES,)
)
 Appellee.)
_____)

Case No. 2D03-4375

Opinion filed July 30, 2004.

Appeal from nonfinal order of the Circuit
Court for Pasco County; William R. Webb,
Judge.

Eugene L. Beil of Beil & Hay, P.A., Hudson,
for Appellants.

Bernie McCabe, State Attorney, and
Andrea Lasker Bradford, Assistant State
Attorney, Clearwater, for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

D.Z. and D.P. (the Relatives), the maternal great-grandfather and the
maternal grandmother, respectively, of D.A.G. and T.G., seek review of the trial court's

nonfinal order denying their motion for visitation. We do not have jurisdiction to review this nonfinal order as an interlocutory appeal. See Fla. R. App. P. 9.130(a)(3).

However, we elect to treat the Relatives' timely filed notice of appeal as a petition for writ of certiorari. See Fla. R. App. P. 9.040(c). We deny the petition. See Sullivan v. Sapp, 866 So. 2d 28 (Fla. 2004); A.D. v. K.S. (In re S.D.), 869 So. 2d 39 (Fla. 2d DCA 2004).

Petition denied.

DAVIS, CANADY, and WALLACE, JJ., Concur.