

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING
MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT

DAVID RUSSELL STAHL, JR.,)
)
 Appellant,)
)
v.)
)
STATE OF FLORIDA,)
)
 Appellee.)
)
)
_____)

Case No. 2D04-3372

Opinion filed July 13, 2005.

Appeal from the Circuit Court
for Hillsborough County;
Wayne S. Timmerman, Judge.

M.D. Purcell, Jr., Lutz, for Appellant.

Charles J. Crist, Jr., Attorney General,
Tallahassee, and Cerese Crawford Taylor,
Assistant Attorney General, Tampa,
for Appellee.

SCHEB, JOHN M., Senior Judge.

David Russell Stahl, Jr., appeals his judgment and sentence for direct
criminal contempt. We affirm as the behavior forming the basis of Stahl's conviction is
clear from the record. We agree with Stahl, however, that the trial court failed to comply

with the mandatory requirement of Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.830 that the written judgment contains a recital of the factors upon which the adjudication was based. Accordingly, we remand for entry of a judgment which complies with rule 3.830. See Jackson v. State, 779 So. 2d 379 (Fla. 2d DCA 2000).

Finally, we find no merit in Stahl's argument that he was denied his right to counsel. Direct criminal contempt allows for summary punishment and does not require the "full panoply of due process rights" afforded an individual prior to conviction and imprisonment. Bryant v. State, 851 So. 2d 823 (Fla. 2d DCA 2003).

Affirmed; remanded with instructions.

CASANUEVA and SALCINES, JJ., Concur.