

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING
MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT

MONIER MAHMOUD RAHALL,)
)
 Appellant,)
)
 v.)
)
 MOHANA CHEAIB RAHALL,)
)
 Appellee.)
 _____)

Case No. 2D07-4806

Opinion filed March 20, 2009.

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Pinellas
County; Michael F. Andrews, Judge.

Jane H. Grossman of Law Office of Jane
H. Grossman, St. Petersburg, for
Appellant.

No appearance for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

Affirmed.

WHATLEY, J., and CASE, JAMES R., ASSOCIATE SENIOR JUDGE, Concur.
VILLANTI, J., Concur with opinion.

VILLANTI, Judge.

I fully concur in the decision to affirm the final judgment entered in this case but write to commend the trial court, whose final judgment is an outstanding example of a meticulously-crafted analysis under section 61.075, Florida Statutes.

It is apparent from the record that the former husband engaged in a predissolution lifestyle strategy that was designed to thwart a court of equity from doing justice, if and when the time came, between the former husband and his wife. Oftentimes, such devious behavior goes undiscovered by the trial court, or the careful façade created by one party is the only "reality" permitted in evidence. Here, the trial court went to great efforts to unravel "[t]he Respondent's financial dealings, [a] proverbial 'riddle, wrapped in a mystery, inside an enigma.' " This was no easy task. Thus, I commend the trial court for its insight, patience, and valiant ability to do justice despite the numerous obstacles placed in its path by the former husband.