NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

OF FLORIDA

SECOND DISTRICT

ANNETTE M. GREY,

Appellant,

۷.

HECTOR GREY,

Appellee.

Case No. 2D07-5270

Opinion filed December 12, 2008.

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Hillsborough County; Steven Scott Stephens, Judge.

Elizabeth S. Wheeler of Berg & Wheeler, P.A., Brandon, for Appellant.

Carlos A. Ramirez and Monica P. Lopez of Escobar, Ramirez & Associates, P.A., Tampa, for Appellee.

WHATLEY, Judge.

Annette M. Grey appeals the final judgment of dissolution of her marriage

to Hector Grey. She alleges, and Hector concedes, that the final judgment must be

reversed because neither party presented the requisite evidence of residency in Florida.

We reverse.

Section 61.021, Florida Statutes (2007), provides that "[t]o obtain a

dissolution of marriage, one of the parties to the marriage must reside 6 months in the

state before the filing of the petition." Section 61.052(2) requires that proof of compliance with this residency requirement be corroborated, and it sets forth what constitutes sufficient corroborating evidence. Here, the parties concede that although they both admitted to the other's residency in Florida in their pleadings, those admissions are insufficient to establish compliance with the residency requirement. <u>See Fernandez v. Fernandez</u>, 648 So. 2d 712, 713 (Fla. 1995) ("[C]orroborating testimony cannot be waived by an admission that the residency requirement has been met.").

In light of the absence of evidence to establish compliance with the statutory residency requirement, the trial court erred in entering the final judgment of dissolution. Consequently, this court may not consider the remaining issues raised in this appeal but must reverse and remand for further proceedings consistent with this opinion. <u>See Speigner v. Speigner</u>, 621 So. 2d 758 (Fla. 1st DCA 1993).

Reversed and remanded.

FULMER and KELLY, JJ., Concur.