



in case no. 2D08-6138. We affirm Cain's judgments and sentences and the revocation order on the merits. However, we reverse for correction of a scrivener's error on the revocation order.

Although the second amended affidavit of violation of probation charged a myriad of violations, the State only proceeded on two violations of condition five based on the two new law violations. At the revocation hearing, the court heard evidence and found that Cain violated these two conditions as alleged but did not violate the remainder of the conditions. When the trial court entered its revocation order three weeks later, however, it erroneously stated that Cain admitted to violations of conditions one, three, five, eight, thirteen, and twenty-two. Because this is merely a scrivener's error, we affirm the revocation of Cain's probation but remand for correction of the revocation order to reflect the court's oral pronouncement. See Washington v. State, 37 So. 3d 376, 376 (Fla. 1st DCA 2010); Thomas v. State, 902 So. 2d 900, 900 (Fla. 4th DCA 2005).

Affirmed and remanded.

WHATLEY and MORRIS, JJ., Concur.