NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA SECOND DISTRICT

FIDENSIO GALLEGOS,	
Appellant,	
V.	
STATE OF FLORIDA,	
Appellee.	

Case Nos. 2D09-4699 2D09-4704

<u>CONSOLIDATED</u>

Opinion filed April 13, 2011.

Appeals from the Circuit Court for Hillsborough County; Manuel A. Lopez, Judge.

James Marion Moorman, Public Defender, and Allyn M. Giambalvo, Assistant Public Defender, Bartow, for Appellant.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Sonya Roebuck Horbelt, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, for Appellee.

CASANUEVA, Chief Judge.

We affirm Mr. Gallegos' judgments and sentences without comment.

However, we write to direct the trial court on remand to strike the postsentence order

that it entered without jurisdiction.

On August 5, 2010, while this appeal was pending, Mr. Gallegos filed in the trial court a motion to correct sentence pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.800(b)(2). The trial court must rule on such a motion within sixty days of filing or it is deemed denied. <u>See</u> Fla. R. Crim. P. 3.800(b)(1)(B). However, it did not issue an order on the motion until January 20, 2011, long after the sixty days had passed. That order found that Mr. Gallegos' claims had merit and gave the State twenty days to choose between two alternative types of relief.

"When a trial court enters an order on a rule 3.800(b)(2) motion outside of the time permitted for ruling on such a motion, the order is deemed a nullity and must be stricken." <u>Miran v. State</u>, 46 So. 3d 186, 188 (Fla. 2d DCA 2010); <u>see also Mapp v.</u> <u>State</u>, 18 So. 3d 33, 37 (Fla. 2d DCA 2009) (striking an out-of-time order granting a rule 3.800(b) motion); <u>Jackson v. State</u>, 950 So. 2d 1267, 1267 (Fla. 2d DCA 2007) (holding that an order rendered more than sixty days after the filing of a rule 3.800(b)(2) motion was a nullity). Therefore, while we affirm the judgments and sentences, we must remand to the trial court to strike the January 20, 2011, order and any subsequent orders entered on the rule 3.800(b)(2) motion.

Judgments and sentences affirmed; remanded with instructions.

VILLANTI and LaROSE, JJ., Concur.