



probation office as required. The order of revocation also reflects that Taylor violated a second condition of probation by changing residences without notification. The trial court could not base this conclusion solely on the hearsay testimony presented at trial. See Thompson v. State, 890 So. 2d 382, 383 (Fla. 2d DCA 2004). We therefore remand for the limited purpose of the entry of an amended order of revocation to reflect that the revocation is based only on the violation for failing to report.

Affirmed and remanded.

ALTENBERND and WALLACE, JJ., Concur.