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## PER CURIAM.

Nazih and Thelma Halawy, the parties in this long-term marriage, had a child who was still a minor when their dissolution of marriage action commenced. In a nonfinal child support order, the trial court imputed minimum wage income to each parent. Mr. Halawy appeals this nonfinal child support order, ${ }^{1}$ contending that the trial

[^0]court erred in requiring him to pay the entire amount despite the fact that the trial court imputed equal income to each of them. ${ }^{2}$

Mrs. Halawy concedes the error and we agree. ${ }^{3}$ We reverse and remand for correction of this error in the order on child support. ${ }^{4}$

Reversed and remanded for further proceedings.

SILBERMAN, C.J., and CASANUEVA, J., and DAKAN, STEPHEN L., ASSOCIATE SENIOR JUDGE, Concur.
${ }^{2}$ Mr. Halawy raises a second issue which we decline to consider inasmuch as it is not within our jurisdiction at this time.
${ }^{3}$ See $\S 61.30(9)$, (10), Fla. Stat. (2009).
${ }^{4}$ Mrs. Halawy further notes that there may be a computational error in the amount of total child support due. On remand, the circuit court shall also consider this claim before entering the final judgment, which should also include a finding and order on alimony due, if any.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Fla. R. App. P. 9.130(a)(3)(C)(iii).

