NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

OF FLORIDA

SECOND DISTRICT

EDDIE J. LASTER,

Appellant,

V.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee.

CASE NO. 2D01-2909

Opinion filed October 19, 2001.

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P. 9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Polk County; Dennis P. Maloney, Judge.

WHATLEY, Acting Chief Judge.

Eddie J. Laster appeals the summary denial of his motion for postconviction

relief filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850. In his motion, Laster

alleged that his sentence was illegal and that his plea was involuntary due to ineffective

assistance of counsel. We reverse.

Laster entered a plea to two new charges and to multiple violations of

probation, including one robbery charge. In his postconviction motion, he alleged that

originally he was sentenced to seven years in prison followed by probation for the robbery charge, but eighteen days later, the sentence was corrected to remove the probation for the robbery charge. Laster attached a copy of sentencing documents to support his claim.

If Laster, indeed, did not receive any probation for the robbery charge, the trial court was without jurisdiction to sentence him for violation of probation on that charge. <u>See Slingbaum v. State</u>, 751 So. 2d 89, 89 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999) (holding that a trial court is without jurisdiction to revoke probation once the probation has ended). When a trial court imposes a sentence without having jurisdiction, the sentence is illegal, regardless of the length, and may be challenged in a postconviction motion. <u>Id.</u> If Laster's claim is true, his eight-year sentence for violation of probation on the robbery charge is illegal.

We reverse and remand for further proceedings. If the trial court again denies Laster's claim, it must attach documents that conclusively refute it. Alternatively, if the trial court cannot conclusively refute the claim, the trial court must vacate the eight-year sentence for violation of probation on the robbery charge. Because this sentence appears to be the result of a negotiated plea, the State must be given the option of allowing the remainder of the sentences to stand, or Laster must be allowed to withdraw his plea. <u>See Sidell v. State</u>, 787 So. 2d 139, 141 (Fla. 2d DCA 2001).

Reversed and remanded.

CASANUEVA and STRINGER, JJ., Concur.

-2-