## NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
	OF FLORIDA
	SECOND DISTRICT
RODNEY COLEMAN,	)
Appellant,	)
v.	) Case No. 2D03-2688
STATE OF FLORIDA,	)
Appellee.	) ) )
Opinion filed December 10, 2003.	
Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P.	

PER CURIAM.

9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Polk County; J. Michael McCarthy and

Susan W. Roberts, Judges.

Affirmed. See Alexander v. State, 830 So. 2d 899 (Fla. 2d DCA 2002).

As this court did in Alexander, 830 So. 2d at 899-900, we certify the same question of great public importance, to wit:

WHETHER ALLEGATIONS OF AFFIRMATIVE MISADVICE BY TRIAL COUNSEL ON THE SENTENCE ENHANCING CONSEQUENCES OF A DEFENDANT'S PLEA FOR FUTURE CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR IN AN OTHERWISE FACIALLY SUFFICIENT MOTION ARE COGNIZABLE AS AN INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL CLAIM.

Affirmed; question certified.

COVINGTON, CANADY, and VILLANTI, JJ., Concur.