

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING
MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT

DONALD MCCLENDON,)
)
 Appellant,)
)
 v.)
)
 STATE OF FLORIDA,)
)
 Appellee.)
 _____)

Case No. 2D03-3471

Opinion filed December 10, 2003.

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P.
9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for
Pinellas County; Linda R. Allan, Judge.

PER CURIAM.

Affirmed. See Alexander v. State, 830 So. 2d 899 (Fla. 2d DCA 2002);
Camille v. State, 803 So. 2d 910 (Fla. 2d DCA 2002). As this court did in Alexander,
830 So. 2d at 899-900, we certify the same question of
great public importance, to wit:

WHETHER ALLEGATIONS OF AFFIRMATIVE MISADVICE
BY TRIAL COUNSEL ON THE SENTENCE ENHANCING
CONSEQUENCES OF A DEFENDANT'S PLEA FOR
FUTURE CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR IN AN OTHERWISE
FACIALLY SUFFICIENT MOTION ARE COGNIZABLE AS

AN INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL CLAIM.

Affirmed; question certified.

CANADY, VILLANTI and WALLACE, JJ., Concur.