NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
	OF FLORIDA
	SECOND DISTRICT
JOSEPH EDWARD KUCZKO, Appellant,)))
v.)) Case No. 2D10-5003
STATE OF FLORIDA,)
Appellee.)

Opinion filed December 16, 2011.

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Lee County; Mark A. Steinbeck, Judge.

James Marion Moorman, Public Defender, and Richard J. Sanders, Assistant Public Defender, Bartow, for Appellant.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Helene S. Parnes, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, for Appellee.

DAVIS, Judge.

Joseph Edward Kuczko challenges the revocation of his probation based on his having committed a new law violation. We affirm both the revocation and the resulting sentence without further comment. However, the written order of revocation reflects that Kuczko violated two conditions of his probation, while the transcript of the

revocation hearing shows that his probation was revoked based on the violation of only one condition. We therefore remand for the limited purpose of the entry of a corrected written order of revocation which conforms with the oral pronouncement. See Harvester v. State, 62 So. 3d 1235, 1235 (Fla. 2d DCA 2011); McNeil v. State, 908 So. 2d 556, 557 (Fla. 2d DCA 2005).

Affirmed and remanded for entry of a corrected order.

VILLANTI and CRENSHAW, JJ., Concur.