## Supreme Court of Florida

\_\_\_\_

No. SC14-888

**HUGO MIRANDA,** 

Petitioner,

VS.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

[January 14, 2016]

PER CURIAM.

We initially accepted jurisdiction to review the decision of the Third District Court of Appeal in <u>State v. Miranda</u>, 137 So. 3d 1133 (Fla. 3d DCA 2014), based on express and direct conflict. <u>See</u> art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const. After further consideration, we conclude that jurisdiction was improvidently granted.

Accordingly, we hereby discharge jurisdiction and dismiss this review proceeding.

It is so ordered.

LABARGA, C.J., and PARIENTE, LEWIS, QUINCE, CANADY, POLSTON, and PERRY, JJ., concur.

NO MOTION FOR REHEARING WILL BE ALLOWED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

Third District - Case Nos. 3D12-269 & 3D12-270

(Miami-Dade County)

Carlos Jesus Martinez, Public Defender, and John Eddy Morrison, Assistant Public Defender, Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida; Richard L. Polin, Bureau Chief, Douglas James Glaid, Senior Assistant Attorney General, Jonathan David Tanoos, Assistant Attorney General, and Jeffrey Robert Geldens, Assistant Attorney General, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent