Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC17-950

MELVIN TROTTER, Appellant,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Appellee.

[January 26, 2018]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review Melvin Trotter's appeal of the circuit court's order denying Trotter's motion filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.851. This Court has jurisdiction. <u>See</u> art. V, § 3(b)(1), Fla. Const.

Trotter's motion sought relief pursuant to the United States Supreme Court's decision in <u>Hurst v. Florida</u>, 136 S. Ct. 616 (2016), and our decision on remand in <u>Hurst v. State</u> (<u>Hurst</u>), 202 So. 3d 40 (Fla. 2016), <u>cert. denied</u>, 137 S. Ct. 2161 (2017). This Court stayed Trotter's appeal pending the disposition of <u>Hitchcock v.</u> <u>State</u>, 226 So. 3d 216 (Fla. 2017), <u>cert. denied</u>, No. 17-6180, 2017 WL 4355572

(U.S. Dec. 4, 2017). After this Court decided <u>Hitchcock</u>, Trotter responded to this Court's order to show cause arguing why <u>Hitchcock</u> should not be dispositive in this case.

After reviewing Trotter's response to the order to show cause, as well as the State's arguments in reply, we conclude that Trotter is not entitled to relief. Trotter was sentenced to death following a jury's recommendation for death by a vote of eleven to one. <u>Trotter v. State</u>, 690 So. 2d 1234, 1236 (Fla. 1996). Trotter's sentence of death became final in 1997. <u>Trotter v. Florida</u>, 522 U.S. 876 (1997). Thus, <u>Hurst</u> does not apply retroactively to Trotter's sentence of death. <u>See Hitchcock</u>, 226 So. 3d at 217. Accordingly, we affirm the denial of Trotter's motion.

The Court having carefully considered all arguments raised by Trotter, we caution that any rehearing motion containing reargument will be stricken. It is so ordered.

LABARGA, C.J., and POLSTON, and LAWSON, JJ., concur. PARIENTE, J., concurs in result with an opinion. LEWIS and CANADY, JJ., concur in result. QUINCE, J., recused.

PARIENTE, J., concurring in result.

I concur in result because I recognize that this Court's opinion in <u>Hitchcock</u> <u>v. State</u>, 226 So. 3d 216 (Fla. 2017), <u>cert. denied</u>, 138 S. Ct. 513 (2017), is now final. However, I continue to adhere to the views expressed in my dissenting

opinion in <u>Hitchcock</u>.

An Appeal from the Circuit Court in and for Manatee County, Andrew Douglas Owens, Jr., Judge - Case No. 411986CF001225CFAXMA

James Viggiano, Jr., Capital Collateral Regional Counsel, Ann Marie Mirialakis, and Ali Andrew Shakoor, Assistant Capital Collateral Regional Counsel, Middle Region, Temple Terrace, Florida,

for Appellant

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, and Scott A. Browne, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, Florida,

for Appellee