

Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC00-622

EDWARD PRITCH WALSH,
Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,
Respondent.

[February 21, 2002]

PER CURIAM.

We initially accepted for review the First District Court of Appeal's decision in Walsh v. State, 751 So. 2d 740 (Fla. 1st DCA 2000), based on express and direct conflict with the Second District Court of Appeal's decision in Walker v. State, 479 So. 2d 274 (Fla. 2d DCA 1985). Upon further consideration, we find that review was improvidently granted. Accordingly, this review proceeding is dismissed.

It is so ordered.

WELLS, C.J., and SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE, LEWIS, and
QUINCE, JJ., concur.

NO MOTION FOR REHEARING WILL BE ALLOWED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal -
Direct Conflict

First District - Case No. 1D99-1529

(Escambia County)

Nancy A. Daniels, Public Defender, and W. C. McLain, Assistant Public Defender,
Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, James W. Rogers, Tallahassee Bureau
Chief, Criminal Appeals, and Thomas D. Winokur, Assistant Attorney General,
Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent