

# Supreme Court of Florida

---

No. SC00-724

---

**DOUGLAS ISOM,**  
Petitioner,

vs.

**STATE OF FLORIDA,**  
Respondent.

[July 12, 2001]

PER CURIAM.

We initially accepted review in Isom v. State, 750 So. 2d 734 (Fla. 3d DCA 2000), based on an alleged express and direct conflict with the First District's decision in Daniels v. State, 593 So. 2d 312 (Fla. 1st DCA 1992). Upon closer examination, however, we find that jurisdiction was improvidently granted in this case. Therefore, we dismiss review of this cause.

It is so ordered.

WELLS, C.J., and SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE, LEWIS, and QUINCE, JJ., concur.

NO MOTION FOR REHEARING WILL BE ALLOWED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal -  
Direct Conflict

Third District - Case No. 3D97-2430

(Dade County)

Mary E. Adkins, Melrose, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, Michael J. Neimand, Senior Assistant  
Attorney General, and Douglas J. Glead, Assistant Attorney General, Fort  
Lauderdale, Florida,

for Respondent