## Third District Court of Appeal

## State of Florida

Opinion filed November 7, 2018. Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing.

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 3D16-2705 Lower Tribunal No. 14-24007

\_\_\_\_\_

## Santiago Jimenez a/k/a Santiago Milian, Appellant,

VS.

## The State of Florida,

Appellee.

An Appeal from the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, Jose L. Fernandez, Judge.

Carlos J. Martinez, Public Defender, and Manuel Alvarez, Assistant Public Defender, for appellant.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, and David Llanes, Assistant Attorney General, for appellee.

Before EMAS, LOGUE and LINDSEY, JJ.

PER CURIAM.

Affirmed. See § 812.13(3)(b), Fla. Stat. (2014) (providing: "An act shall be deemed 'in the course of the taking' if it occurs either prior to, contemporaneous with, or subsequent to the taking of the property and if it and the act of taking constitute a continuous series of acts or events"); Fonseca v. State, 547 So. 2d 1032 (Fla. 3d DCA 1989) (affirming robbery conviction where defendant grabbed money from a store cash register and ran; the cashier chased defendant and grabbed him, preventing him from leaving the store; the cashier and defendant fell to the ground, at which time defendant punched and kicked the cashier and then fled the store); Messina v. State, 728 So. 2d 818 (Fla. 1st DCA 1999) (holding that robbery can be established by proof that force was used to retain the victim's property once it has been taken); Lemus v. State, 641 So. 2d 177 (Fla. 5th DCA 1994) (same).