## Third District Court of Appeal

## **State of Florida**

Opinion filed October 2, 2019. Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing.

\_\_\_\_

No. 3D18-1442 Lower Tribunal No. 15-7219

\_\_\_\_\_

Henry Tien,

Petitioner,

VS.

Akerman, LLP,

Respondent.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari from the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, Thomas J. Rebull, Judge.

Henry Tien, in proper person.

Kubicki Draper, P.A., and Caryn L. Bellus, G. William Bissett, and Bretton C. Albrecht, for respondent.

Before FERNANDEZ, HENDON, and MILLER, JJ.

HENDON, J.

Henry Tien appeals two non-final, non-appealable discovery orders. We treat the notice of appeal as a petition for writ of certiorari, and we deny the petition.

Tien seeks review of the trial court's order granting Akerman, LLP's motion to compel Tien to execute IRS Form 4506-T. As the trial court's order does not depart from the essential requirements of law and the trial court did not abuse its discretion by granting the motion, we deny the petition for writ of certiorari.<sup>1</sup>

Denied.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tien also sought review of an order granting Akerman's motion to overrule Tien's objections to Akerman's nonparty subpoenas. However, Tien's initial brief only addresses the order compelling him to execute IRS Form 4506-T. Therefore, Tien has abandoned any challenge to the order granting Akerman's motion to overrule Tien's objections to Akerman's nonparty subpoenas. See Clarke-Morales v. Coca-Cola Refreshments USA, Inc., 271 So. 3d 1128, 1129 n.3 (Fla. 3d DCA 2019) (holding that, although appellants attached a specific order to their notice of appeal, they "abandon[ed] any challenge" to the order because they "raised no argument in their initial brief" regarding that specific order).