Third District Court of Appeal

State of Florida

Opinion filed August 31, 2022. Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing.

> No. 3D22-876 Lower Tribunal No. 19-19868

Mitchell Scott Novick,

Petitioner,

VS.

Mango's Tropical Café, LLC, Respondent.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari from the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, Valerie R. Manno Schurr, Judge.

Wicker Smith O'Hara McCoy & Ford, P.A., and Brandon J. Hechtman; and The Law Offices of Kent Harrison Robbins, P.A. and Kent Harrison Robbins, for petitioner.

Pathman Schermer Tandy, LLP and Aaron W. Tandy, for respondent.

Before SCALES, HENDON and GORDO, JJ.

HENDON, J.

Mitchell Scott Novik seeks to quash a non-final order of the trial court denying his motion to dismiss Mango's Tropical Café, LLC's counterclaim for business disparagement pursuant to section 768.295, Florida Statutes (2022).¹ We dismiss the petition for certiorari for lack of jurisdiction. <u>Vericker v. Powell</u>, No. 3D22-645, 2022 WL 3221457, at *1–2 (Fla. 3d DCA, Aug. 10, 2022). We follow the <u>Vericker</u> court in certifying conflict with <u>Davis v. Mishiyev</u>, 339 So. 3d 449 (Fla. 2d DCA 2022), <u>Baird v. Mason</u> <u>Classical Acad., Inc.</u>, 317 So. 3d 264 (Fla. 2d DCA 2021), and <u>Gundel v.</u> <u>AV Homes, Inc.</u>, 264 So. 3d 304 (Fla. 2d DCA 2019).

Petition dismissed, conflict certified.

¹ Section 768.295 of the Florida Statutes, titled "Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (SLAPP) prohibited" and commonly known as Florida's Anti-SLAPP statute, prohibits lawsuits that "are inconsistent with the right of persons to exercise such constitutional rights of free speech in connection with public issues." § 768.295(1), Fla. Stat. (2021).