IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA THIRD DISTRICT

JULY TERM, A.D. 2001

GLENROY SALMON, \*\* Appellant, \*\* vs. \*\* CASE NO. 3D01-2143 THE STATE OF FLORIDA, \*\* LOWER TRIBUNAL NO. 93-6467 \*\*

Opinion filed October 31, 2001.

An Appeal from the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, Jose Rodriguez, Judge.

Glenroy Salmon, in proper person.

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, for appellee.

Before SCHWARTZ, C.J., and SORONDO, and RAMIREZ, JJ.

## ON MOTION FOR REHEARING, AND OR REHEARING EN BANC

PER CURIAM.

We grant the motion for rehearing. As in <u>Major v. State</u>, 790 So. 2d 550 (Fla. 3d DCA 2001), we certify that we have passed on the following question of great public importance: WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT OR COUNSEL HAS A DUTY TO ADVISE A DEFENDANT THAT HIS PLEA IN A PENDING CASE MAY HAVE SENTENCE ENHANCING CONSEQUENCES IF THE DEFENDANT COMMITS A NEW CRIME IN THE FUTURE?