

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES  
TO FILE REHEARING MOTION  
AND, IF FILED, DISPOSED OF.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL  
OF FLORIDA  
THIRD DISTRICT  
JULY TERM, A.D. 2001

MARILYN REINBERG,

\*\*

Appellant,

\*\*

vs.

\*\*

CASE NO. 3D01-2512

MARVIN E. REINBERG, M.D.,

\*\*

LOWER

Appellee.

\*\*

TRIBUNAL NO. 88-15283

Opinion filed December 5, 2001.

An appeal from a non-final order from the Circuit Court for  
Miami-Dade County, Rosa I. Rodriguez, Judge.

Metsch & Metsch, P.A. and Lawrence R. Metsch, for appellant.

Elkins & Freedman and Richard L. Freedman (Ft. Lauderdale),  
for appellee.

Before SCHWARTZ, C.J., and GREEN and SHEVIN, JJ.

PER CURIAM.

The former wife appeals a non-final order denying her motion  
for her former husband to post a bond to secure his obligation to

make future alimony payments. Although the former wife established a need for the security, there was competent substantial evidence presented that the former husband did not have the present ability to provide the same. See Dziuba v. Dziuba, 784 So. 2d 1192 (Fla. 2d DCA 2001). Accordingly, on the record before us, we cannot conclude that the trial court abused its discretion in denying the former wife's motion.

Affirmed.