NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DISPOSED OF.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

OF FLORIDA

THIRD DISTRICT

JANUARY TERM, A.D. 2003

CASE NO. 3D03-1153

ROBERTO SANCHEZ, \*\*

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF FLORIDA, \*\* LOWER TRIBUNAL NO. 00-19211 Appellee. \*\*

Opinion filed June 4, 2003.

An Appeal under Fla.R.App.P. 9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Dade County, Dennis J. Murphy, Judge.

\* \*

\* \*

Roberto Sanchez, in proper person.

Charles J. Crist, Attorney General, for appellee.

Before SCHWARTZ, C.J., and GREEN and RAMIREZ, JJ.

PER CURIAM.

Affirmed on the authority of State v. Franklin, 836 So. 2d 1112 (Fla. 3d DCA 2003). Conflict is certified with Taylor v. State, 818 So. 2d 544 (Fla. 2d DCA 2002), rev. dismissed, 821 So. 2d 302 (Fla. 2002).

SCHWARTZ, C.J., and RAMIREZ, J., concur.

GREEN, J. (specially concurring).

The doctrine of stare decisis compels me to join in the reversal of this cause, but I continue to adhere to the view that <u>Taylor v. State</u>, 818 So. 2d 544 (Fla. 2d DCA 2002), <u>review</u> <u>dismissed</u>, 821 So. 2d 302 (Fla. 2002), was correct in its conclusion that Chapter Law 99-188, Laws of Florida, violates the single subject rule of the Florida Constitution, <u>accord Carlson v.</u> <u>State</u>, 27 Fla. L. Weekly D1701 (Fla. 5<sup>th</sup> DCA July 26, 2002).