NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DISPOSED OF.	
	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
	OF FLORIDA
	THIRD DISTRICT
	JULY TERM, A.D. 2004
WILLIAM E. MATTHEWS,	**
Petitioner,	**
vs.	** CASE NO. 3D04-2909
THE STATE OF FLORIDA,	**
Respondent.	** LOWER TRIBUNAL CASE NO. CF99-1345 **

Opinion filed December 29, 2004.

A Case of Original Jurisdiction - Mandamus.

William E. Matthews, in proper person.

Charles J. Crist, Jr., Attorney General, for respondent.

Before GERSTEN, FLETCHER, and WELLS, JJ.

PER CURIAM.

We deny William E. Matthews' petition for writ of mandamus, through which he seeks to compel the trial court to correct his habitual offender sentence, pursuant to <u>Blakely v. Washington</u>, _____U.S. ____, 124 S. Ct. 2631, 159 L. Ed. 2d 403 (2004). We note first that <u>Blakely</u> does not apply retroactively to cases on collateral appeal. <u>In re Dean</u>, 375 F.3d 1287, 1290 (11th Cir. 2004) ("Regardless whether <u>Blakely</u> established a 'new rule of constitutional law' . . . the Supreme Court has not expressly declared <u>Blakely</u> to be retroactive to cases on collateral appeal."). <u>See also McBride v. State</u>, 884 So. 2d 476 (Fla. 4th DCA 2004). Further, Matthews' general assertion that the habitual offender statute is illegal under <u>Blakely</u> and that he should have been given a guidelines sentence is incorrect. <u>Blakely</u> does not declare habitual offender sentencing illegal, and because Matthews was legally sentenced as an habitual offender the sentencing guidelines are inapplicable.

Petition denied.

2