IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAILT

FRANCIS ANTHONY GRANDINETTI, II, Petitioner

VS.

STANTON C. OSHIRO and CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT, Respondents.

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING (CR. NO. 93-141)

ORDER

(By: Moon, C.J., Levinson, Nakayama, Acoba, and Duffy, JJ.)

Upon consideration of petitioner Francis Grandinetti, II's "application for an appellate injunction and supervisory mandamus remedy," which is deemed a petition for a writ of mandamus and the papers in support, it appears that petitioner fails to demonstrate a clear and indisputable right to the relief requested and petitioner is not entitled to a writ of mandamus.

See Kema v. Gaddis, 91 Hawai'i 200, 204-205, 982 P.2d 334, 338-339 (1999) (A writ of mandamus is an extraordinary remedy that will not issue unless the petitioner demonstrates a clear and indisputable right to relief and a lack of alternative means to redress adequately the alleged wrong or obtain the requested action.). Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the petition for a writ of mandamus is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, March 21, 2007.

Francis Grandinetti, II, pro se, on the petition

Steve Stevenson

Kama E. Dubby, &.