NO. 29745

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

NATASHA ANELA VIMAHI and LUSEANE ENITI VIMAHI, Petitioners,

VS.

THE HONORABLE KAREN N. BLONDIN, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT, STATE OF HAWAI'I and PROVIDENT FUNDING ASSOCIATES, L.P., Respondents.

> ORIGINAL PROCEEDING (CIVIL NO. 08-1-1788)

ORDER

Moon, C.J., Nakayama, Acoba, and Duffy, JJ. and Intermediate Court of Appeals Judge Leonard (By: Moon, C.J., Nakayama, Acoba, and Duffy, assigned by reason of vacancy)

Upon consideration of the petition for a writ of prohibition filed by petitioners Natasha Anela Vimahi and Luseane Eniti Vimahi and the papers in support, it appears that the granting and entry of a writ of ejectment is immediately appealable pursuant to HRS § 641-1(a) (Supp. 2008). See Penn v. Transportation Lease Haw., Ltd., 2 Haw. App. 272, 274, 630 P.2d 646, 649 (1981); <u>Ciesla v. Reddish</u>, 78 Hawai'i 18, 889 P.2d 702 Petitioners can appeal from a writ of ejectment and can seek a stay of the writ pending appeal pursuant to HRAP 8. petitioners are not entitled to extraordinary relief. See Kema v. Gaddis, 91 Hawai'i 200, 204, 982 P.2d 334, 338 (1999) (A writ of prohibition is an extraordinary remedy that will not issue unless the petitioner demonstrates a clear and indisputable right to relief and a lack of alternative means to redress adequately the alleged wrong or obtain the requested action. Such writs are not intended to supersede the legal discretionary authority of

the lower courts, nor are they intended to serve as legal remedies in lieu of normal appellate procedures.). Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the petition for a writ of prohibition is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, April 21, 2009.

rame a rowayare

James E. Dubley &.