

Electronically Filed  
Supreme Court  
SCOT-10-000057  
03-NOV-2010  
08:58 AM

NO. SCOT-10-000057

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

---

ALLEN VIDAL, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF HAWAI'I, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS,  
Respondent.

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING  
(CASE NO. 02-04-41062)

ORDER

(By: Recktenwald, C.J., Nakayama, Acoba, Duffy, JJ.  
and Circuit Judge To'oto'o, assigned by reason of vacancy)

Upon consideration of petitioner Allen Vidal's "Complaint of Disparate Treatment," which is deemed a petition for a writ of mandamus, it appears that petitioner fails to demonstrate that he is entitled to mandamus relief. See HRS § 602-5(3) (2009) ("The supreme court shall have jurisdiction and power . . . [t]o exercise original jurisdiction in all questions . . . arising under writs of mandamus directed to public officers to compel them to fulfill the duties of their offices[.]"); In re. Disciplinary Bd. Of the Hawaii Supreme Court, 91 Hawai'i 363, 368, 984 P.2d 688, 693 (1999) (Mandamus relief is available to compel an official to perform a duty allegedly owed to an individual only if the individual's claim is clear and certain, the official's duty is ministerial and so plainly prescribed as

to be free from doubt, and no other remedy is available.).

Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the clerk of the appellate court shall process the petition for a writ of mandamus without payment of the filing fee.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petition for a writ of mandamus is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, November 3, 2010.

/s/ Mark E. Recktenwald

/s/ Paula A. Nakayama

/s/ Simeon R. Acoba, Jr.

/s/ James E. Duffy, Jr.

/s/ Fa'auuga To'oto'o

