

Electronically Filed  
Supreme Court  
SCOT-17-0000777  
29-NOV-2018  
03:34 PM

SCOT-17-0000777

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI‘I

---

IN THE MATTER OF CONTESTED CASE HEARING RE  
CONSERVATION DISTRICT USE APPLICATION (CDUA) HA-3568  
FOR THE THIRTY METER TELESCOPE AT THE MAUNA KEA SCIENCE  
RESERVE, KA‘OHE MAUKA, HĀMĀKUA, HAWAI‘I, TMK (3)404015:009

---

APPEAL FROM THE BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES  
(BLNR-CC-16-002 (Agency Appeal))

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

(By: Recktenwald, C.J., McKenna, J., and Circuit Judge  
Castagnetti, in place of Nakayama, J., recused,  
with Pollack, J., concurring and dissenting separately, and  
with Wilson, J., concurring and dissenting separately)

Upon consideration of the motion for reconsideration  
filed on November 19, 2018 by Petitioners-Appellants Mauna Kea  
Anaina Hou and Kealoha Pisciotta, Clarence Kukauakahi Ching,  
Flores-Case ‘Ohana, Deborah J. Ward, Paul K. Neves, and KAHEA:  
The Hawaiian Environmental Alliance; the declaration in support  
thereof; the joinders thereto; and the record and files herein,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion for  
reconsideration is granted in part and denied in part. The  
motion is granted in part to delete footnote 15.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for  
reconsideration is granted in part to modify footnote 17 to read  
as follows:

The Kihoi Appellants allege in Point of Error B(2) that the  
BLNR erred by stating that Article XII, Section 7 does not

protect contemporary Native Hawaiian cultural practices. The record reflects, however, that the BLNR appropriately took into account contemporary (as well as customary and traditional) Native Hawaiian cultural practices, finding and concluding that none were taking place within the TMT Project site or its immediate vicinity, aside from the recent construction of ahu to protest the TMT Project itself, which was not found to be a reasonable exercise of cultural rights. Further, although the BLNR defined the "relevant area" in its Ka Pa'akai analysis as the TMT Observatory site and Access Way, the Board's findings also identified and considered the effect of the project upon cultural practices in the vicinity of the "relevant area" and in other areas of Mauna Kea, including the summit region, as Ka Pa'akai requires. See 94 Hawai'i at 49, 7 P.3d at 1086 (faulting the agency for failing to address "possible native Hawaiian rights or cultural resources outside [the area at issue]").

This court will issue an Order of Correction and Amended Opinion incorporating these changes.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the motion for reconsideration is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, November 29, 2018.

/s/ Mark E. Recktenwald

/s/ Sabrina S. McKenna

/s/ Jeannette H. Castagnetti

