MEMORANDUM DECISION

Pursuant to Ind. Appellate Rule 65(D), this Memorandum Decision shall not be regarded as precedent or cited before any court except for the purpose of establishing the defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, or the law of the case.



ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

Adam C. Squiller Squiller & Harley Auburn, Indiana ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLEE

Gregory F. Zoeller Attorney General of Indiana

George P. Sherman Deputy Attorney General Indianapolis, Indiana

COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA

Ventura S. Sanchez, *Appellant-Defendant,*

v.

State of Indiana, Appellee-Plaintiff. November 23, 2016

Court of Appeals Case No. 44A03-1603-CR-564

Appeal from the LaGrange Superior Court

The Honorable Lisa Bowen-Slaven, Judge

Trial Court Cause No. 44D01-1306-FB-17

May, Judge.

[1] Ventura Sanchez appeals his convictions of Class B felony sexual deviate conduct¹ and Class B felony incest² following a bench trial. He argues he did not personally waive his right to a trial by jury. The State concedes reversible error occurred. Accordingly, we reverse and remand for a new trial.

Facts and Procedural History

[2] On June 24, 2013, the State charged Sanchez with Class B felony sexual deviate conduct, Class B felony incest, and Class D felony sexual battery.³ On August 5, 2015, Sanchez filed notice he was ready for trial, a waiver of trial by jury, and a motion to set his cause for a bench trial. Sanchez's counsel had signed the jury trial waiver, but Sanchez had not personally signed the waiver. The trial court held a bench trial and convicted Sanchez of sexual deviate conduct and incest.⁴

Discussion and Decision

[3] Both the United States and Indiana Constitutions guarantee the right to jury trial. *Poore v. State*, 681 N.E.2d 204, 206 (Ind. 1997); U.S. Const. amend. VI;

¹ Ind. Code § 35-42-4-2 (1998).

² Ind. Code § 35-46-1-3(a) (1994).

³ Ind. Code § 35-42-4-8(a)(1)(A) (2012).

⁴ The trial court determined it was prohibited from convicting Sanchez of both criminal deviate conduct and sexual battery "pursuant to the actual evidence test of the Indiana Double Jeopardy principles[.]" (App. Vol. III at 11-12.)

Ind. Const. art. 1 § 13. We presume a defendant did not waive this right unless he affirmatively acts to do so. *Poore*, 681 N.E.2d at 207. "To constitute a valid waiver of the right to a jury trial, [a] defendant's waiver must be knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently made with sufficient awareness of the relevant circumstances surrounding its entry and consequences." *Anderson v. State*, 833 N.E.2d 119, 122 (Ind. Ct. App. 2005). In addition, the waiver "must be 'personal,' either in a writing signed by the defendant or in the form of a colloquy in open court between the defendant and judge." *Kellems v. State*, 849 N.E.2d 1110, 1112-13 (Ind. 2006).

- [4] Sanchez did not sign the jury trial waiver that defense counsel filed. Nor does the record reflect a colloquy in open court between Sanchez and the trial court. As Sanchez did not personally express a desire to waive his right to jury trial, the waiver is invalid. *See Anderson*, 833 N.E.2d at 122 (holding waiver invalid where defendant neither signed the waiver nor expressed his personal desire to waive right to jury trial in open court). We therefore vacate Sanchez's convictions and remand this case for a jury trial.
- [5] Reversed and remanded.

Kirsch, J., and Crone, J., concur.