### IN RE: MEDICAL REVIEW PANEL OF TAMMY MINEO FREED

e • • •

s\* ,

NO. 05-CA-28

FIFTH CIRCUIT

COURT OF APPEAL; FIFTH CIRCUIT

FILED APR 2 6 2005

STATE OF LOUISIANA

COURT OF APPEAL

# ON APPEAL FROM THE TWENTY-FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT PARISH OF JEFFERSON, STATE OF LOUISIANA NO. 606-723, DIVISION "D" HONORABLE ROBERT M. MURPHY, JUDGE PRESIDING

APRIL 26, 2005

## THOMAS F. DALEY JUDGE

Panel composed of Judges James L. Cannella, Thomas F. Daley, and Marion F. Edwards

TIMOTHY R. RICHARDSON Usry, Weeks & Matthews 1615 Poydras Street Suite 1250 New Orleans, Louisiana 70112

AND

HARRY E. FORST 639 Loyola Avenue Suite 1830 New Orleans, Louisiana 70113 COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF/APPELLEE

M. RANDALL BROWN, CAMERON M. MARY CREIGHTON, RICHARDS, HIGDON & REEVES, L.L.C. 827 West 22nd Avenue Covington, Louisiana 70433 COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS/APPELLANTS

#### APPEAL DISMISSED

1.D GXC MFE

The defendants, Eye Surgery Center of Louisiana and Dr. Stephen Brint, have appealed the trial court's denial of their Exception of Prescription. We dismiss this appeal because this judgment is not appealable despite the trial court's certification of the judgment as a final judgment under La. C.C.P. art. 1915, and remand for further proceedings.

In denying defendants' Exception of Prescription, the trial court ordered that this judgment is "designated as a final judgment on the court's express finding that there is no just reason for delay." The trial court did not state any reasons why there is no just reason for delay. The Louisiana Supreme Court recently held that the trial court's failure to state the reasons why there is no just reason for delay does not require summarily dismissal of an appeal of a judgment that has been certified as final under La. C.C.P. art. 1915. <u>R. J. Messinger, Inc. v. Knauss-Rosenblum</u>, 04-OC-1664 (La. 3/2/05), 2005 WL 487731. Rather, the Supreme Court held that when there are no reasons stated as to why the trial court determined there is no just reason for delay, the appellate court should make a de

novo determination of whether certification was proper. <u>Id.</u> Following a de novo review, we conclude certification of this judgment was improper.

LA. C.C.P. art. 1915 provides for certification of judgments that grant exceptions or sustain exceptions in part, however, it does not authorize the certification of a judgment denying an Exception of Prescription. The denial of an Exception of Prescription is an interlocutory judgment that is not appealable absent irreparable harm. Although defendants correctly note that La. C.C.P art. 2083 allows an appeal from an interlocutory judgment that may cause irreparable injury, defendants have made no showing of irreparable injury.

Interlocutory rulings are reviewed under this Court's supervisory jurisdiction. The long standing rule of this Court was not to convert appeals to Writ Applications. However, by en banc meeting of December 9, 2004, this Court decided that converting appeals to writs will be left to the discretion of the panel. We see no reason to exercise our supervisory jurisdiction at this point in the proceedings because the facts concerning the prescription issue have not been completely developed. Therefore, we decline to convert this appeal to a Writ Application.

For the foregoing reasons, defendants' appeal is dismissed; this matter is remanded to the trial court for proceedings consisted with this opinion.

### APPEAL DISMISSED

ì

-3-

EDWARD A. DUFRESNE, JR. CHIEF JUDGE

SOL GOTHARD JAMES L. CANNELLA THOMAS F. DALEY MARION F. EDWARDS SUSAN M. CHEHARDY CLARENCE E. McMANUS WALTER J. ROTHSCHILD

JUDGES



FIFTH CIRCUIT 101 DERBIGNY STREET (70053) POST OFFICE BOX 489 GRETNA, LOUISIANA 70054 www.fifthcircuit.org PETER J. FITZGERALD, JR. CLERK OF COURT

GENEVIEVE L. VERRETTE CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

GLYN RAE WAGUESPACK FIRST DEPUTY CLERK

JERROLD B. PETERSON DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL STAFF

(504) 376-1400 (504) 376-1498 FAX

## **CERTIFICATE**

I CERTIFY THAT A COPY OF THE OPINION IN THE BELOW-NUMBERED MATTER HAS BEEN MAILED ON OR DELIVERED THIS DAY <u>APRIL 26, 2005</u> TO ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD AND TO ALL PARTIES NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, AS LISTED BELOW:

JR

05-CA-28

Harry E. Forst Attorney at Law 639 Loyola Avenue Sutie 1830 New Orleans, LA 70113

Timothy R. Richardson Attorney at Law 1615 Poydras Street Suite 1250 New Orleans, LA 70112

Mr. Randall Brown Cameron M. Mary Attorneys at Law 827 W. 22nd Avenue Covington, LA 70433