STATE OF LOUISIANA

VERSUS

DONALD JONES

COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH CIRCUIT

FILED FEB 2 5 2009

NO. 08-KA-1158

FIFTH CIRCUIT

COURT OF APPEAL

STATE OF LOUISIANA

ON APPEAL FROM THE TWENTY-FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT PARISH OF JEFFERSON, STATE OF LOUISIANA NO. 07-627, DIVISION "F" HONORABLE PATRICK J. MCCABE, JUDGE PRESIDING

February 25, 2009

EDWARD A. DUFRESNE, JR. CHIEF JUDGE

Panel composed of Judges Edward A. Dufresne, Jr., Marion F. Edwards, and Walter J. Rothschild

PAUL D. CONNICK, JR. DISTRICT ATTORNEY Twenty-Fourth Judicial District Parish of Jefferson
TERRY M. BOUDREAUX
ANDREA F. LONG
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEYS 200 Derbigny Street Gretna, Louisiana 70053 COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF/APPELLEE

KATHERINE M. FRANKS Attorney at Law Louisiana Appellate Project P. O. Box 1677 Abita Springs, LA 70420 COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT/APPELLANT

<u>CONVICTION AND SENTENCE</u> <u>AFFIRMED</u>

On January 30, 2007, the Jefferson Parish District Attorney filed a bill of information charging defendant, Donald Jones, with issuing a worthless check to Acoustical Ceiling Supply in the amount of \$18,697.66, in violation of LSA-R.S. 14:71. At the arraignment, defendant pled not guilty. However, on June 23, 2008, defendant withdrew his original plea of not guilty and pled guilty as charged. On that same date, the trial judge sentenced defendant to imprisonment at hard labor for two years, suspended the sentence, and placed him on active probation for four years. The trial judge also ordered defendant to comply with general and special conditions of probation, including payment of restitution to the victim in the amount of \$18,697.66 and District Attorney's fees in the amount of \$3,739.53. Defendant now appeals.

In his sole assignment of error, defendant challenges the validity of his guilty plea. He asserts that the trial judge erred in accepting the plea as knowing and voluntary when the judge failed to explain the right against self-incrimination before asking defendant to plead guilty and confess judgment. Defendant claims that his guilty plea should be set aside because the trial judge mentioned his right against self-incrimination only once and did not explain it to him. Alternatively, defendant contends that this matter should be remanded for an evidentiary hearing. The State responds that defendant was informed of his right against self-

-2-

incrimination and knowingly and voluntarily waived that right, and therefore, his guilty plea should not be set aside.

٠.

٢,

A guilty plea is not considered valid unless it is freely and voluntarily made. Under Boykin v. Alabama, 395 U.S. 238, 89 S.Ct. 1709, 23 L.Ed.2d 274 (1969), the decision to plead guilty will not be considered voluntary unless, at the very least, the defendant has been advised of his constitutional rights against selfincrimination, to a trial by jury, and to confront his accusers. <u>State v. Lemon</u>, 05-567 (La.App. 5 Cir. 2/14/06), 923 So.2d 794, 799. There also must be an express and knowing waiver of those rights, <u>State ex rel. Jackson v. Henderson</u>, 260 La. 90, 255 So.2d 85 (1971), and the waiver must be on the record, which must unequivocally show that the waiver was free and voluntary. <u>State v. Ursin</u>, 98-435 (La.App. 5 Cir. 10/28/98), 720 So.2d 1248, 1249. While it is preferable for the trial judge to conduct a colloquy to ascertain the validity of the plea, such a colloquy may not be indispensable, as long as the record contains some other affirmative showing to support the plea. <u>State v. Halsell</u>, 403 So.2d 688 (La. 1981); <u>State v.</u> <u>Hebert</u>, 02-884 (La.App. 5 Cir. 12/30/02), 838 So.2d 30, 32.

Having reviewed the entire record, we find that defendant knowingly and voluntarily waived his constitutional rights, including his right against selfincrimination, prior to defendant's entry and the court's acceptance of his guilty plea. After defendant entered his guilty plea, the trial judge began his colloquy with defendant as follows:

Court: Okay. Mr. Jones, in this matter, your attorney has indicated to me that he has advised you of your rights (1) to a trial by jury, (2) to confront your accusers, and (3) against self-incrimination, and that by entering your plea of guilty you are waiving or giving up these rights. He has also indicated to me that you have advised him that you understand these things, is that correct?

Defendant: Yes, sir.

The trial judge then inquired as to defendant's age and educational background, and explained to him the nature of the charge, the maximum sentence that could be imposed, and the actual sentence that would be imposed if his plea was accepted. The judge further informed defendant that he had the right to trial by jury, the right to hire an attorney of his choice, and that if he could not afford an attorney, one would be appointed for him. The judge also advised defendant of his right to confront his accusers and to compel testimony on his behalf and his right to an appeal. The judge told defendant that if the court accepted his plea, he did not have the right to assert any allegations or defects, such as an illegal arrest, an illegal search and seizure, an illegal confession, or an illegal lineup. He went on to advise defendant that he had the right to waive any trial by jury and be tried by the court alone. Throughout the colloquy, the trial judge asked defendant if he understood that by pleading guilty, he was waiving all these rights, and defendant answered affirmatively. During the colloquy, the trial judge also informed defendant that no one could force him to plead guilty, and in response to questioning, defendant affirmed to the trial judge that no one had forced, threatened, or coerced him into pleading guilty.

Towards the end of the colloquy and prior to accepting defendant's guilty plea, the trial judge questioned defendant and his attorney about the waiver of rights form. Defendant's attorney affirmed to the trial judge that he read and signed the form. Defendant also affirmed, in open court, that he read and signed the form, and that he initialed all the paragraphs of the form. After this thorough colloquy, the trial judge accepted defendant's plea based upon his satisfaction that defendant understood his rights and the consequences of his guilty plea.

-4-

Additionally, the record contains "Defendant's Acknowledgement of Constitutional Rights and Waiver of Rights on Entry of a Plea of Guilty" form. Defendant initialed each section of the form, including the introductory paragraph which acknowledges that his attorney advised him of his constitutional rights against self-incrimination, to a trial by jury, and to confront his accusers. In addition, defendant signed the form acknowledging that he was advised of his rights, that he was satisfied with the explanation of those rights, and that his act of pleading guilty was a knowing, intelligent, free, and voluntary act on his part. Defendant's attorney also signed the form attesting that he informed defendant of his rights and was satisfied that defendant knowingly, willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered his plea, knowing the consequences.

Based on the foregoing, we find that defendant's plea colloquy along with the well-executed waiver of rights form constitutes a sufficient affirmative showing that defendant knowingly waived his privilege against self-incrimination. See <u>State v. Cole</u>, 04-615 (La. App. 5 Cir. 3/1/05), 900 So.2d 15, 24 and <u>State v.</u> <u>Hebert</u>, 838 So.2d at 34, where this court, under similar circumstances, found that the defendants were fully apprised of their rights and entered their guilty pleas knowingly and voluntarily.

We have also reviewed the record for errors patent, according to LSA-C.Cr.P. art. 920; <u>State v. Oliveaux</u>, 312 So.2d 337 (La. 1975); and <u>State v.</u> <u>Weiland</u>, 556 So.2d 175 (La. App. 5 Cir. 1990). Our review reveals no errors that require corrective action.

Accordingly, we hereby affirm defendant's conviction and sentence.

CONVICTION AND SENTENCE AFFIRMED

-5-

EDWARD A. DUFRESNE, JR. CHIEF JUDGE

MARION F. EDWARDS SUSAN M. CHEHARDY CLARENCE E. McMANUS WALTER J. ROTHSCHILD FREDERICKA H. WICKER

JUDGES



FIFTH CIRCUIT 101 DERBIGNY STREET (70053) POST OFFICE BOX 489 GRETNA, LOUISIANA 70054 www.fifthcircuit.org

PETER J. FITZGERALD, JR. CLERK OF COURT

GENEVIEVE L. VERRETTE CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

MARY E. LEGNON FIRST DEPUTY CLERK

TROY A. BROUSSARD DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL STAFF

(504) 376-1400 (504) 376-1498 FAX

NOTICE OF JUDGMENT AND CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I CERTIFY THAT A COPY OF THE OPINION IN THE BELOW-NUMBERED MATTER HAS BEEN MAILED ON OR DELIVERED THIS DAY <u>FEBRUARY</u> 25, 2009 TO THE TRIAL JUDGE, COUNSEL OF RECORD AND ALL PARTIES NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, AS LISTED BELOW:

ZGER D. JR PI ÓF CO♥

08-KA-1158

TERRY M. BOUDREAUX ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY PARISH OF JEFFERSON 200 DERBIGNY STREET GRETNA, LA 70053 KATHERINE M. FRANKS ATTORNEY AT LAW LOUISIANA APPELLATE PROJECT P. O. BOX 1677 ABITA SPRINGS, LA 70420