

STATE OF LOUISIANA

NO. 20-K-291 C/W  
20-K-305

VERSUS

FIFTH CIRCUIT

KERRIAN JAVANA CLOFER  
DAVANA CLOFER TRIPPS

COURT OF APPEAL

C/W

STATE OF LOUISIANA

STATE OF LOUISIANA

VERSUS

DAVANA CLOFER TRIPPS  
KERRIAN JAVANA CLOFER

May 04, 2021

---

Susan Buchholz  
First Deputy Clerk

---

IN RE STATE OF LOUISIANA

---

**APPLYING FOR SUPERVISORY WRIT FROM THE FORTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT,  
PARISH OF ST JOHN THE BAPTIST, STATE OF LOUISIANA, DIRECTED TO THE HONORABLE  
MADELINE JASMINE, DIVISION "A", NUMBER 15,274**

---

Panel composed of Judges Susan M. Chehardy,  
Robert A. Chaisson, and Stephen J. Windhorst

### **WRITS GRANTED, REMANDED WITH INSTRUCTIONS**

Relator, the State of Louisiana, seeks this Court's supervisory review of the trial court's ruling that granted defendant Javana Tripps' motion to sever her trial from that of her daughter, Kerrian Clofer, as well as the trial court's rulings that denied the State's motion in limine to designate evidence as *res gestae*, or in the alternative, notice of evidence under La. C.E. 404(B). The trial court granted defendant's motion to sever before considering the State's motion in limine, as seen by the fact that the trial court entered separate judgments on the State's motion in limine—one for the charges against Davana Tripps (40<sup>th</sup> JDC No. 2015-CR-274 (1)), and another for the charge against Kerrian Clofer (40<sup>th</sup> JDC No. 2015-CR-274 (2)). We consolidated the State's two writ applications seeking review of these three judgments for consideration.

As to the State's motion in limine (20-K-305), although the State attached the evidence it purports to introduce at trial to its motion in limine, the transcript of the March 11, 2020 hearing reveals that the State failed to offer, file, and introduce into evidence the pertinent exhibits. While a full evidentiary hearing is not

mandated when determining the admissibility of other crimes evidence, *see State v. Taylor*, 16-1124, 16-1183 (La. 12/1/16), 217 So.3d 283, 292, we decline to review the propriety of the trial court's ruling in this particular instance without the evidence properly entered into the record. Accordingly, we grant the State's writ application in 20-K-305, vacate both of the trial court's judgments entered on the State's motion in limine, and remand to the trial court to conduct a new hearing. *See State v. Pigott*, 18-598 (La. App. 5 Cir. 1/24/19), 2019 WL 322837 (granting writ and remanding to reopen hearing for State to offer, file, and introduce into evidence the exhibits at issue on State's notices of intent to use other crimes evidence and *res gestae* evidence); *State v. Durning*, 16-737 (La. App. 5 Cir. 1/17/17) (unpub.) (granting writ to allow State to properly offer, file, and introduce into evidence two consent judgments related to the State's *res gestae* notice).

As to defendant Tripps' motion to sever (20-K-291), La. C.Cr.P. art. 704 provides: "Jointly indicted defendants shall be tried jointly unless: ... (2) The court, on motion of the defendant, and after contradictory hearing with the district attorney, is satisfied that justice requires a severance." Because the trial court's determination as to the State's motion in limine affects its decision as to whether justice requires severance under La. C.C.P. art. 704(2), we also grant the State's writ application in 20-K-291, vacate the trial court's judgment granting defendant Tripps' motion to sever, and remand with instructions to conduct a new hearing subsequent to the trial court's ruling on the State's motion in limine.

Gretna, Louisiana, this 4th day of May, 2021.

**SMC**  
**SJW**

STATE OF LOUISIANA

NO. 20-K-291 C/W  
20-K-305

VERSUS

FIFTH CIRCUIT

KERRIAN JAVANA CLOFER  
DAVANA CLOFER TRIPPS

COURT OF APPEAL

C/W

STATE OF LOUISIANA

STATE OF LOUISIANA

VERSUS

DAVANA CLOFER TRIPPS  
KERRIAN JAVANA CLOFER

**CHAISSON, J., DISSENTS IN PART.**

I respectfully dissent from the majority's conclusion that the trial court's ruling on the State's "Motion in Limine to Designate Evidence as *Res Gestae*, or in the Alternative, Notice of Evidence under La. C.E. art. 404(B)," needs to be vacated and the matter remanded for a new hearing in order to afford the State the opportunity to properly introduce the evidence relating to its motion. Although the State did not formally introduce the exhibits in support of its *motion in limine*, it did submit the evidence, including police reports and certified copies of convictions from Calcasieu Parish, to the trial court. *See State v. Taylor*, 16-1124, 16-1183 (La. 12/1/16), 217 So.3d 283. Based on the evidence presented, the trial court denied the State's *motion in limine* and issued judgments with reasons for its ruling as to each defendant, noting as to both that the probative value of the evidence was outweighed by its prejudicial effect. Absent an abuse of discretion, a trial court's ruling on the admissibility of evidence pursuant to La. C.E. art. 404(B)(1) will not be disturbed. *State v. Carter*, 15-99 (La. App. 5 Cir. 7/29/15), 171 So.3d 1265, 1280, *writ denied*, 15-1618 (La. 10/17/16), 207 So.3d 1068. In

the present case, I find no abuse of discretion in the trial court's ruling on the State's *motion in limine*.

**RAC**

SUSAN M. CHEARDY  
CHIEF JUDGE

FREDERICKA H. WICKER  
JUDE G. GRAVOIS  
MARC E. JOHNSON  
ROBERT A. CHAISSON  
STEPHEN J. WINDHORST  
HANS J. LILJEBERG  
JOHN J. MOLAISSON, JR.

JUDGES



FIFTH CIRCUIT  
101 DERBIGNY STREET (70053)  
POST OFFICE BOX 489  
GRETNA, LOUISIANA 70054  
www.fifthcircuit.org

CURTIS B. PURSELL  
CLERK OF COURT  
NANCY F. VEGA  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

SUSAN BUCHHOLZ  
FIRST DEPUTY CLERK

MELISSA C. LEDET  
DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL STAFF

(504) 376-1400  
(504) 376-1498 FAX

**NOTICE OF DISPOSITION CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY**

I CERTIFY THAT A COPY OF THE DISPOSITION IN THE FOREGOING MATTER HAS BEEN TRANSMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH **UNIFORM RULES - COURT OF APPEAL, RULE 4-6** THIS DAY **05/04/2021** TO THE TRIAL JUDGE, THE TRIAL COURT CLERK OF COURT, AND AT LEAST ONE OF THE COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR EACH PARTY, AND TO EACH PARTY NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, AS LISTED BELOW:

**CURTIS B. PURSELL**  
CLERK OF COURT

**20-K-291**  
C/W 20-K-305

**E-NOTIFIED**

40th District Court (Clerk)  
Honorable Madeline Jasmine (DISTRICT JUDGE)  
Honorable Vercell Fiffie (DISTRICT JUDGE)  
Lisa M. Parker (Respondent)  
Nghana L. Gauff (Respondent)

Honorable Bridget A. Dinvaut (Relator)  
William R. Dieters (Relator)  
Justin B. LaCour (Relator)

**MAILED**