# NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

#### COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA

RE: Docket Number 2012-CA-0767

Dennis Glass

- - Versus - -

Bryan Galley and Maurice L. Tynes

22nd Judicial District Court Case #: 200610371 St. Tammany Parish

On Application for Rehearing filed on 02/19/2013 by Bryan Galley

Rehearing granted. See attached per curiam

Vanessa G Pag Toni Manning Higgint othar

Filed APR 0 5 2013
League J. Landus
Christine L. Crow, Clerk
a La desta de la desta de la La desta de la d
Con 200

# **NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION**

STATE OF LOUISIANA COURT OF APPEAL FIRST CIRCUIT NO. 2012 CA 0767

### DENNIS GLASS

### VERSUS

## BRYAN GALLEY AND MAURICE L. TYNES

## **ON APPLICATION FOR REHEARING**

BEFORE: WHIPPLE, C.J., McCLENDON, AND HIGGINBOTHAM, JJ. PER CURIAM.

The application for rehearing filed by defendant-appellant, Bryan Galley, is granted for the limited purpose of amending the assessment of appellate costs to reflect that all costs of this appeal are assessed equally against both defendants-appellants, Bryan Galley and Maurice L. Tynes.

In all other respects, the application for rehearing is denied.

### NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA

RE: Docket Number 2012-CA-0767

**Dennis Glass** 

. . .

- - Versus - -

Bryan Galley and Maurice L. Tynes

22nd Judicial District Court Case #: 200610371 St. Tammany Parish

On Application for Rehearing filed on 02/19/2013 by Maurice L. Tynes

Rehearing granted for the limited purpose of considering the arguments on behalf of Tynes that were previously pretermitted; rehearing denied on the merits and original opinion affirmed, except as separately amended this date to assess costs equally against both appellants.

Vanessa G. <u>cClen</u> Toni Manning Higginbo ham

Filed	APR 0 5 2013		
	Pigan &	Landur	
Christ	ine L. Crow, Clerk	Ų	

### **NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION**

STATE OF LOUISIANA COURT OF APPEAL FIRST CIRCUIT NO. 2012 CA 0767

DENNIS GLASS

#### VERSUS

### BRYAN GALLEY AND MAURICE L. TYNES

#### **ON APPLICATION FOR REHEARING**

BEFORE: WHIPPLE, C.J., McCLENDON, AND HIGGINBOTHAM, JJ. PER CURIAM.

The application for rehearing filed by defendant-appellant, Maurice L. Tynes, is granted for the limited purpose of receiving and considering the arguments raised by Tynes in his brief in support of this rehearing since his original brief was rejected as non-compliant. Having thoroughly considered the issues, argument, and law raised in Tynes' application for rehearing, we maintain our original decree affirming the trial court's judgment by summary opinion for the reasons assigned by the trial court.

We also note that the assessment of appellate costs in our original opinion was amended after consideration of a separate application for rehearing filed by defendant-appellant, Bryan Galley. We granted that rehearing separately for the limited purpose of amending our original opinion to assess the costs of appeal equally against both defendantappellants, Bryan Galley and Maurice L. Tynes.

In all other respects, the application for rehearing is denied.