

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**  
**COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST CIRCUIT**

STATE OF LOUISIANA

NO. 2014 KW 1197

VERSUS

MILTON WILSON

OCT 21 2014

---

In Re: Milton Wilson, applying for supervisory writs, 22nd  
Judicial District Court, Parish of St. Tammany, No.  
413805.

---

**BEFORE: PARRO, McDONALD AND CRAIN, JJ.**

**WRIT DENIED.** Although the "at hard labor" language was added to La. R.S. 15:529.1(G) by 2010 La. Acts No. 69, § 1, the addition of that condition to the habitual offender law did not modify the sentencing provisions of any underlying felony offense. The sentence conditions required by La. R.S. 15:529.1(G) are additions to, rather than replacements of, those conditions required by the sentencing provisions for the underlying offense. A sentence enhanced under the habitual offender statute is computed by referring to the underlying offense. See **State v. Douglas**, 2010-2039 (La. App. 1st Cir. 7/26/11), 72 So.3d 392, 398, writs denied, 2011-2307 (La. 5/25/12), 90 So.3d 406 & 2012-2508 (La. 5/3/13), 115 So.3d 474. Because relator's underlying felony conviction for possession with intent to distribute cocaine allowed for a sentence at hard labor, that condition was a legal component of relator's sentence as a habitual offender. See La. R.S. 40:967(B)(4)(b). Accordingly, the trial court did not err in denying relator's motion to correct an illegal habitual offender sentence.

RHP  
JMM  
WJC

COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST CIRCUIT



DEPUTY CLERK OF COURT  
FOR THE COURT