STATE OF LOUISIANA COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST CIRCUIT

STATE OF LOUISIANA

NO. 2014 KW 1522

VERSUS

SIDNEY J. BOTHMAN

DEC 0 5 2014

In Re:

Sidney J. Bothman, applying for supervisory writs, 22nd Judicial District Court, Parish of St. Tammany, No. 503048.

BEFORE: WHIPPLE, C.J., McCLENDON AND HIGGINBOTHAM, JJ.

WRIT NOT CONSIDERED. The "motion to extend return date for supervisory writs" was not filed within the original or an extended return date period. An application not filed in the appellate court within the time so fixed or extended shall not be considered in the absence of a showing that the delay in filing was not due to the applicant's fault. Uniform Rules of Louisiana Courts of Appeal, Rule 4-3. Relator also failed to comply with Rule 4-5, paragraph one, in that the attorney's use of an initial does not comply with the requirement that the writ application be "signed." See State v. Selvage, 93-1435 (La. App. 1st Cir. 10/7/94), 644 So.2d 745, 748, writ denied, 94-2744 (La. 3/10/95), 650 So.2d 1174. Moreover, although it is not a violation of the Uniform Rules, we note that relator failed to include a copy of the guilty plea proceedings. <u>See</u> City of Baton Rouge v. Plain, 433 So.2d 710 (La.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 464 U.S. 896, 104 S.Ct. 246, 78 L.Ed.2d 235 Supplementation of this writ application and/or an See Uniform application for rehearing will not be considered. Rules of Louisiana Courts of Appeal, Rules 2-18.7 & 4-9. event relator elects to file a new application with this Court which includes documentation of a timely request for extension of the return date, the application shall be filed on or before January 6, 2015, and should include the entire contents of this application, the missing items, and a copy of this ruling.

> TMH VGW PMc

COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST CIRCUIT

Deputy CLERK OF COURT
FOR THE COURT