STATE OF LOUISIANA COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST CIRCUIT

TERRY CAMPBELL, INDIVIDUALLY, AND ON BEHALF OF ALL THOSE SIMILARLY SITUATED TAX PAYERS

VERSUS

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR THE AMITE RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT; ASSESSOR AND SHERIFF OF EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH; ASSESSOR AND SHERIFF OF ASCENSION PARISH; ASSESSOR AND SHERIFF OF LIVINGSTON PARISH; THE LOUISIANA TAX COMMISSION; AND THE STATE OF LOUISIANA NO. 2014 CW 0377 PG. 1 OF 2

MARCH 25, 2014

In Re: Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District, applying for supervisory writs, 19th Judicial District Court, Parish of East Baton Rouge, No. 597072.

BEFORE: WHIPPLE, C.J., CRAIN AND DRAKE, JJ.

WRIT NOT CONSIDERED. Relator, Amite River Basin Drainage and Water Conservation District filed the instant application, which seeks review of the district court's March 17, 2014 ruling that denied its "Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Funds" based on this Court's action in 2013cw1400.

Relator's writ application does not show that a notice of intent to seek supervisory writs was filed with the East Baton Rouge Clerk of Court and presented to the district court judge as required by the Uniform Rules of Louisiana Courts of Appeal, Rule 4-2. Further, relator failed to comply with the Uniform Rules of Louisiana Courts of Appeal, Rules 4-5(C)(9) and (11), as the writ application does not contain a copy of the pertinent court minutes or a return date order signed by the district court.

Supplementation of this writ application and/or an application for rehearing will not be considered. Uniform Rules of Louisiana Court of Appeal, Rules 4-9 and 2-18.7. In the event that the relator seeks to file a new application with this

STATE OF LOUISIANA COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST CIRCUIT

NO. 2014 CW 0377

PG. 2 OF 2

Court, it must contain all pertinent documentation and must comply with the Uniform Rules of Louisiana Courts of Appeal, Rules 4-2 and 4-5. Any new application must be filed with this Court on or before April 4, 2014, and must contain a copy of this ruling.

VGW WJC EGD

COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST CIRCUIT

DEPU COURT