

NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

STATE OF LOUISIANA

COURT OF APPEAL

FIRST CIRCUIT

NO. 2018 CA 0362

JACQUELINE CARR, INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HER CAPACITY OF
HEIR TO EVELLA FACIANE CARR, SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO
ALFRED E. CARR, JR., TRUSTEE FOR JASON EDWARD CARR

VERSUS

ST. TAMMANY PARISH, LOUISIANA, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF
THE STATE OF LOUISIANA, OPERATING UNDER A PRESIDENT
COUNCIL HOME RULE CHARTER, BY AND THROUGH ITS
PRESIDENT, HONORABLE PATRICIA BRISTER, ARCHON
INFORMATION SYSTEM, LLC, A DELAWARE CORPORATION, D/B/A
CIVICSOURCE, HONORABLE E. L. "GENE" BELLASARIO, PARISH
COUNCILMAN, DISTRICT 9, ST. TAMMANY PARISH, LOUISIANA

Judgment Rendered: APR 29 2019

* * * * *

Appealed from the
22nd Judicial District Court
In and for the Parish of St. Tammany
State of Louisiana
Case No. 2017-12356
The Honorable Richard A. Swartz, Jr., Judge Presiding

* * * * *

Jacqueline Carr
Slidell, Louisiana

Plaintiff/Appellant
Pro Se

Joseph L. Alphonse
Cary J. Menard
Mandeville, Louisiana

Counsel for Defendant/Appellee
St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana, a
Political Subdivision of the State of
Louisiana, Operating under a President
Council Home Rule Charter, by and
through its President, Honorable Patricia
Brister, Honorable E. L. "Gene"
Bellasio, Parish Councilman, District 9,
St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana

* * * * *

BEFORE: GUIDRY, THERIOT, AND PENZATO, JJ.

THERIOT, J.

This case involves a petition to nullify a tax sale based on an alleged violation of the St. Tammany Parish Home Rule Charter. Plaintiff, Jacqueline Carr, both individually and in her capacity as heir to Evella Faciane Carr, successor in the interest to Alfred E. Carr, Jr., and trustee for Jason Edward Carr, filed an exception in the trial court seeking to disqualify counsel for the defendants under the St. Tammany Parish Home Rule Charter. The Twenty-Second Judicial District Court signed a judgment on February 6, 2018, denying her exception with prejudice and certifying the judgment as final under La. C.C.P. art. 1915 for purposes of an immediate appeal.

Carr appealed the February 6, 2018 judgment, and oral argument for the appeal was docketed; however, while the matter was pending before this court, Carr filed a motion to dismiss the appeal “on grounds of ‘mootness,’ and consent of the parties.” Because no answer to the appeal or other formal action to amend or modify the February 6, 2018 judgment has been filed by defendants, we hereby grant the motion to dismiss the appeal filed by Carr in accordance with Uniform Rules, Courts of Appeal, Rules 2-8.4 and 2-16.2.A(3). See *Heine v. City/Parish of East Baton Rouge*, 01-1927, p. 3 (La.App. 1 Cir. 9/27/02), 835 So.2d 558, 559-60. Costs of the appeal are assessed to plaintiff/appellant, Jacqueline Carr.

APPEAL DISMISSED.