

**STATE OF LOUISIANA IN
THE INTEREST OF T.E.**

VERSUS

*** NO. 2011-CA-1172
* COURT OF APPEAL
* FOURTH CIRCUIT
* STATE OF LOUISIANA**

*** * * * ***

JONES, C.J., DISSENTS WITH REASONS

The majority finds that the juvenile court erred in its factual determinations that the evidence of the arresting officer, coupled with the video placed in evidence, were insufficient to adjudicate T.E., a delinquent. Because I disagree, I respectfully dissent.

The majority pronounces a new rule that to find this juvenile delinquent, the juvenile court was required to determine both the make and model of the weapon before the court could determine that the juvenile in fact possessed a weapon. The majority cites no legal authority for this determination, thus pronounces a new rule with which I cannot concur. Thus, for these reasons, I respectfully dissent.