

**STATE OF LOUISIANA IN  
THE INTEREST Z.D.**

\*

**NO. 2017-CA-0616**

\*

**COURT OF APPEAL**

\*

**FOURTH CIRCUIT**

\*

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

\* \* \* \* \*

APPEAL FROM  
JUVENILE COURT ORLEANS PARISH  
NO. 2017-00095-01-NA-A, SECTION "A"  
Honorable Ernestine S. Gray, Judge

\* \* \* \* \*

**Judge Rosemary Ledet**

\* \* \* \* \*

(Court composed of Judge Terri F. Love, Judge Roland L. Belsome, Judge Daniel L. Dysart, Judge Rosemary Ledet, Judge Regina Bartholomew Woods)

LOVE, J., CONCURS IN THE RESULT WITH REASONS  
DYSART, J., DISSENTS, WITH REASONS  
BARTHOLOMEW-WOODS, J., DISSENTS WITH REASONS

Leon Cannizzaro  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
Scott G. Vincent  
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
J. Taylor Gray  
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
PARISH OF ORLEANS  
619 S. White Street  
New Orleans, LA 70119  
COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT/STATE OF LOUISIANA

**APPEAL DISMISSED**

**DECEMBER 6, 2017**

In this appeal, the State seeks review of the juvenile court’s finding that Z.D. is not a child in need of care as to his father. For the reasons that follow, we find that we lack appellate jurisdiction and therefore dismiss the State’s appeal.

#### **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

On May 2, 2017, the State filed a petition, alleging, *inter alia*, that Z.D.’s father is incarcerated in Texas and requesting that Z.D. be adjudicated a child in need of care as to his father.<sup>1</sup> The matter was then set for adjudication. At the adjudication hearing, the juvenile court found that Z.D. was not a child in need of care as to his father. The juvenile court then set the matter for “case review” on July 20, 2017. This appeal followed.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Article 330(B) of the Louisiana Code of Criminal Procedure provides that, “[i]n . . . child in need of care proceedings pursuant to Title VI . . . an appeal may be taken only after a judgment of disposition . . . [and] shall include all errors

---

<sup>1</sup> The petition also alleged a pattern of abuse and neglect by Z.D.’s mother and requested that Z.D. also be adjudicated a child in need of care as to his mother. Z.D.’s status as a child in need of care as to his mother is not an issue before the court in this appeal.

assigned concerning the adjudication and disposition.” The record in this case does not contain a judgment of disposition as to Z.D.’s father. Accordingly, we find that we lack appellate jurisdiction over this case.

**DECREE**

For the foregoing reasons, we dismiss the State’s appeal.

**APPEAL DISMISSED**