STATE OF MAINE PENOBSCOT, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT
Docket No. CV-97-231

JLH-PEN-2/14/2000

Gloria Yeo,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
)	
v.)	DECISION AND JUDGMENT
)	FILED AND ENTERED
)	FILED AND ENTERED SUPERIOR COURT
Town of Stacyville et al.,)	FEB 1 4 2000
Defendants)	FED 1 7 2000
		A TOTAL OCUMEN
		PENOBSCOT COUNTY

Hearing on the remaining count of the complaint (Count II), which sounds in trespass, was held this date. By order dated February 10, 2000, summary judgment adverse to the plaintiff was entered on all pending claims against the Town of Stacyville and Laurel Bouchard. As a result, the trespass claim against defendants Judy Tapley and Jerry Tapley remained pending for trial. Present at trial were the plaintiff and her attorney. Neither defendant appeared.¹

In Count II, the plaintiff alleges that the Tapleys trespassed on her property and that both are liable under 14 M.R.S.A. § 7552 for damages. The evidence presented at trial does not establish that Judy Tapley is liable to the plaintiff. There is no evidence of Ms. Tapley's role in the construction of the store on the property adjacent to the plaintiff's, of any benefit she might derive, or, more generally, of any conduct that would

¹As noted on the record, Ms. Tapley had telephoned the clerk's office shortly before the trial was to begin and explained that because of the weather and other circumstances, she would not appear for the trial. Nonetheless, the court declined to continue the matter.

render her liable as a tortfeasor. See generally RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS §§ 876-77 (1979).

On the other hand, the evidence demonstrates that Jerry Tapley was involved in the construction project, that he was placed on notice of the breach of the plaintiff's boundary line and that he continued the circumstances amounting to a trespass. Thus, he is liable to the plaintiff under sections 7552(2)(A) and 7552(4)(B).

The plaintiff estimated that restoration costs would be between \$1,200 and \$1,500. Such work would be performed by other people. Because the basis for this estimate is not made clear in the record, the court finds the plaintiff's damages to be \$1,000. By statute, the plaintiff is entitled to treble damages, costs and attorney's fees.

The entry shall be:

For the reasons set out in the order dated February 14, 2000, on count II of the complaint, judgment is entered for the plaintiff against defendant Jerry Tapley in the amount of \$3,000 plus costs and reasonable attorney's fees. Counsel for the plaintiff may submit an affidavit on attorney's fees pursuant to M.R.Civ.P. 54(b)(3).

Judgment is entered for defendant Judy Tapley, and she is awarded her costs of court.

Dated: February 14, 2000

JUSTICE, SUPERIOR COURT

Date Filed	10/7/97 PENOBSCOT County	Docket NoCV-97-231	
	County	LAWEAL	
Action	CIVIL-DECLARATORY/EQUITABLE RELIEF	PEN-98-397	
Assigned	to Justice Jeffrey L. Hjelm		
	DONALD L. GARBRECH		
	LAW LABRACIY		
		* TOWN OF STACYVILLE, * LAUREL BOUCHARD,	
	MAR 6 2000	JUDITH TAPLEY and	
GLORIA	YEO, vs.	JERRY TAPLEY,	
Plaintiff's At	torney	Defendant's Attorney	
3	rrow, Esq.	Mary Margaret Parker, Esq.	
	Cue, Esq. ern Avenue, PO Box 655	Post-Office-Box-185 P O BOX 235	
	, Maine 04444-0655	Smryna Mills, Maine - 04780 ISLAND FALLS FOR: Town of Stacyville and Laurel 047	
		Bouchard	
		Judith Tapley & Jerry Tapley, pro se	
	1	P O BOX 403	
Date of	·	Sherman Mills, ME 04776	
Entry			
10/7/07	Count I Woulded I Count to for	. Demonstrate and the state of	
10/7/97		Permanent Injunction and Seeking of Preliminary Injunction, Count	
	II Trespass Action, Count III	Complaint Pursuant to Rule 80B	
	filed.		
10/7/97	Certification filed by Plaintiff.		
10/7/97	Motion to Enlarge Time to File	Review Under Rule 80B and RUle	
10,,,,,	6B of Maine Rules of Civil Pro		
10/7/97	Affilant to Comment of a Dati	Adam San Damananh Tatunahdan and	
10///9/		tion for Permanent Injunction and Order and Preliminary Injunction	
	filed by Gloria Yeo. Exhibit		
10/7/97	Order filed This matter was	presented to the Court seeking a	
10///5/		Although the file indicates that	
		red a copy of the pleadings, there	
	1	notified that this matter was to mex parte basis this afternoon.	
	Based upon the material presen	ted, this Court declines to enter	
		opportunity to be heard by all	
		will order the matter set for hearing ber 16, 1997, at 9:00 a.m. Plaintiff	
	will serve all parties and ins	ure that they have at least five	
		(Kravchuk, CJ.) Copy forwarded	
	to attorneys of record on 10/8	797•	
10/8/97	Case File Notice and Pretrial Scheduling Statement and Jury Demand		
	forwarded to Plaintiff's attor	ney.	
10/14/97	Hearing on on Request for Temp	porary Restraining Order rescheduled	
	Plaintiff's Attorney notified	.m. per Chief Justice Margaret Kravchuk. by telephone and to let other parties	
	aware of time change.		