STATE OF MAINE

YORK, ss.

LIBERTY INSURANCE UNDERWRITERS, INC.,

Plaintiff

v.

WILLIAM HOWISON, ESQ., PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF PETER D. FAULKNER, et al.,

DONALD L. GARBRECHT LAW LIBRARY

MAY 1 3 2007

Defendants

SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION DOCKET NO. CV-06-18 PAC -YOL-1 101

Martica Douglas, Esq. - PL William Leete, Jr., Esq. -DEFS (Karl & Robin Hoose) Robert Mittel, Esq.- DEF. (Blethen Maine Newspapers, Inc John Rich, Esq.- DEF.- William Howison, Esq. PR Est. of Peter **ORDER** Faulkner) Thomas Van Houten, Esq. - DEF. (John Bshara, Jr.) James Shirley, Esq. - DEF -Cooke & Young Development LLC) Gilles & Lorraine Fecteau - DE (pro se) Denise M. Bass - DEF - (pro se Claire Hussey - DEF - (pro se)

Both Defendant Cooke & Young, LLC and the plaintiff filed motions for reconsideration of the order's and decision in this case of September 19, 2006. Both motions are denied.

I have reexamined the current and earlier versions of 24-A M.R.S.A. §2411 and the opinions in American Home Assurance v. Ingeneri, 479 A.2d 897, 901 (Me. 1984), Marchiori v. American Republic Insurance Co., 662 A.2d 932, 934 (Me. 1995) and York Mutual Insurance Co. v. Bowman, 2000 ME 27, ¶¶5-11, 746 A.2d 906, 907-9. The legislation and the opinions indicate that, other than taking the word "or" after "1. Fraudulent" and changing it to "and", the plaintiff must prove exactly what the legislation says it must in order to void the policy.

The entries are:

Defendant Cooke & Young, LLC's motion to reconsider is denied.

Plaintiff's cross-motion for reconsideration is denied.

Dated: January 10, 2007

Paul A. Fritzsche Justice, Superior Court